arXiv:1611.08933v1 [math.QA] 27 Nov 2016

SCALAR CURVATURE IN CONFORMAL GEOMETRY OF CONNES-LANDI NONCOMMUTATIVE MANIFOLDS

YANG LIU

Abstract. We first propose a conformal geometry for Connes-Landi noncommutative manifolds and study the associated scalar curvature. The new scalar curvature contains its Riemannian counterpart as the commutative limit. Similar to the results on noncommutative two tori, the quantum part of the curvature consists of actions of the modular derivation through two local curvature functions. Explicit expressions for those functions are obtained for all even dimensions (greater than two). In dimension four, the one variable function shows striking similarity to the analytic functions of the characteristic classes appeared in the Atiyah-Singer local index formula, namely, it is roughly a product of the j-function (which defines the $\hat{A}$-class of a manifold) and an exponential function (which defines the Chern character of a bundle). By performing two different computations for the variation of the Einstein-Hilbert action, we obtain a deep internal relations between two local curvature functions. Straightforward verification for those relations gives a strong conceptual confirmation for the whole computational machinery we have developed so far, especially the Mathematica code hidden behind the paper.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Notations for Connes-Landi deformations 5
2.1. Deformation along $\mathbb{T}^n$ 6
2.2. Deformation of Riemannian geometry 7
3. Conformal geometry and the scalar curvature 8
3.1. Conformal change of metric 8
3.2. Change of volume form 8
3.3. Local curvature functions 9
3.4. The modular scalar curvature 10
3.5. Modular scalar curvature in terms of $h = \log k$ 12
4. The Einstein-Hilbert action 14
4.1. Internal relations between $\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}$ 14
4.2. Variation of the Einstein-Hilbert action 15
5. Widom's Pseudo Differential Calculus 20
5.1. Phase functions and local parallel-transport 20

Date: November 29, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Connes-Landi deformation, toric noncommutative manifolds, pseudo differential calculus, modular curvature, heat kernel expansion.

5.2. Vertical and Horizontal Differential 21
5.3. Symbol and Quantization maps 22
5.4. Trace formula of symbols 23
5.5. Product formula for symbols 24
5.6. Symbols of $\not{D}$ and $\not{D}^2$ 25
6. Symbolic Computation 27
6.1. The contour integral and integration over the cosphere bundle 28
6.2. Rearrangement lemma 29
6.3. Computation for the local curvature functions 30
Appendix A. Covariant derivatives of e^h after deformation 34
Appendix B. Integration over the cosphere bundle S^*M 35
Appendix C. List of complete terms of b_2 37
Acknowledgement 42
References 42

1. Introduction

The general question behind this paper is to explore the notion of intrinsic curvature, which lies in the core of the geometry, in the operator theoretical framework (noncommutative differential geometry). The question remained intangible until the recent development of modular geometry on noncommutative two tori [14], other major references on this subject include [26, 15, 18, 19, 27]. The computation has been extended to noncommutative four tori smoothly [17, 20]. The essential computational tool is Connes's pseudo differential calculus to C^*-dynamical system, first constructed in [8] and upgraded in [27] to Heisenberg modules. Some different approaches can be found in [31, 3].

In the modular geometry on noncommutative tori, the Riemannian aspect is somehow hidden in the sense that the original metric is flat. To obtain a stronger demonstration that our approach does include Riemannian geometry as a special case, we would like to test the ideas on a larger class of deformed Riemannian manifolds known as Connes-Landi noncommutative manifolds, first introduced in [12]. The computation was initiated in the author's previous work [28]. The first main result in [28] is a pseudo differential calculus which is suitable for studying the spectral geometry. Such a calculus not only records the Riemannian curvature information but also dramatically simplify the computation. By testing the calculus on the scalar Laplacian operator Δ_φ in [14], we recovered the local curvature functions. This paper starts with a unfinished problem in [28], namely, computing the full scalar curvature for all even dimensional Connes-Landi noncommutative manifolds.

Let us first recall some basic notions in conformal geometry in the operator theoretical setting. The generalization to the noncommutative setting is straightforward, which leads to the conformal geometry of Connes-Landi noncommutative manifolds defined in Table 1.

For a closed Riemannian manifold (M, g), which is also spin with the spinor bundle $\$_g$ and the spinor Dirac operator $\not{D}$, the spin geometry can be recovered by the Dirac model,

consists of the spectral data $(C^\infty(M), L^2(\not{S}_g), \not{D})$. This motivates the basic paradigm of noncommutative geometry: the notion of spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$, in which $\mathcal{A}$ is the coordinate algebra and the operator D (playing the role of the Dirac operator) encodes the metric (cf. [11, 10]). Thanks to the conformal covariant property of the spinor Dirac operator $\not{D}$, the conformal change of metric $g' = e^{-2h}g$, where $h \in C^\infty(M)$ real-valued, in the spectral setting can be achieved by replacing $\not{D}$ by $D_h = e^{h/2}\not{D}e^{h/2}$. The perturbed Dirac model $(C^\infty(M), L^2(\not{S}_g), D_h)$ via a conformal factor e^h is a natural example of twisted spectral triples of type III in [13].

Once the metric is fixed (as $\not{D}$ or D_h), local invariants, such as the scalar curvature function, are encoded in the heat kernel asymptotic:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(fe^{-t\not{D}^2}) \backsim_{t\searrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} V_j(f, \not{D}^2)t^{(j-m)/2}, \ \ \forall f \in C^\infty(M), \ \ m = \dim M. \tag{1.1}$$

Each coefficient $V_j(f, \not{D}^2)$ is a spectral functional (in f). The associated local expressions $V_j(x, \not{D}^2) \in \Gamma(\mathrm{End}(\not{S}))$ (also called functional densities) are defined by the property:

$$V_j(f, \not{D}^2) = \varphi_0(fV_j(x, \not{D}^2)), \ \ \forall f \in C^\infty(M),$$

here $\varphi_0(\psi) = \int_M \mathrm{Tr}_x(\psi)\mathrm{dvol}_g$, $\forall \psi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{End}(\not{S}))$, where Tr_x is the fiberwise trace. Upto an overall constant $(4\pi)^{-m/2}$, $V_0(x, \not{D}^2) = I$ which is related to the volume form and the next term recovers the scalar curvature function $\mathcal{S}_{\not{D}}$:

$$V_2(x, \not{D}^2) = -\frac{1}{12}\mathcal{S}_{\not{D}}. \tag{1.2}$$

See [9], Section 11.1 for a detailed discussion.

Riemannian Geometry	Connes-Landi spectral triples
e^{-2h} with $h \in C^\infty(M)$ real-valued	e^{-2h} with $h = h^* \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$ self-adjoint
Original metric g	Spinor Dirac $\not{D}$
$g' = e^{-2h}g$	$D_h = e^{h/2}\not{D}e^{h/2}$
Scalar curvature for g': $\mathcal{S}_{g'}$	$R_{D_h} \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$: local expression of $V_2(\cdot, D_h^2)$ defined in (1.2)

TABLE 1. Conformal change of metric and the associated scalar curvature in the noncommutative setting.

We are ready to state the main progresses made in this paper. Assume that the dimension m of the manifolds is alway even a greater than two, the requirement comes only from Lemma 6.1. Similar to the results on noncommutative two tori, the scalar curvature in the

conformal geometry of Connes-Landi noncommutative manifolds is of the form:

$$R_{D_h} = e^{(-m+2)h}\left(\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(\not\nabla)(\nabla^2 h) + \mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(\not\nabla_{(1)}, \not\nabla_{(2)})(\nabla h \nabla h)\right) g^{-1} + c_\Delta e^{(-m+2)h}\mathcal{S}_\Delta, \ \ m = \dim M. \tag{1.3}$$

Upto a volume factor e^{-mh}, (1.3) contains its Riemannian version (3.17) as the commutative limit. The noncommutative feature is reflected by the action of the modular derivation $\not\nabla = -2\mathrm{ad}_h$ through two local curvature functions $\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(u)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(u,v)$, which are still begging for more conceptual understanding.

The dependence of the local curvature functions $\mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(u)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\not{D}_h}(u,v)$ on the dimension m is due to integration over the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ combined with some integration by parts arguments. It turns out that they are all contained in the germs (at $u=0$) of function F and G defined in (3.6) and (3.7) respectively. In dimension four, the functions can be derived from F and G at $u=0$; in dimension six, one needs to compute the first jet of F and G at $u=0$; in dimension eight, one needs the second jet, etc.

For the Gaussian curvature of noncommutative two tori ([14], Theorem 4.8), there are two celebrated features for the local curvature functions: 1) The one variable function is the generating function of Bernoulli numbers. 2) There is an intriguing functional relation (Eq. 4.38 in [14]) between them. The two facts both have generalizations in higher dimensions.

In dimension four, the one-variable local curvature function equals:

$$\mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(u) = -\frac{1}{2}e^{u/2}\frac{\sinh(u/4)}{(u/4)}. \tag{1.4}$$

One can see the striking similarity to the analytic functions for the characteristic classes appeared in the Atiyah-Singer local index formula. The j-function $\sinh(x/2)/(x/2)$ defines the $\hat{A}$-class of a manifold while the exponential function gives rise to the Chern character of a vector bundle. It is not a coincident. Indeed, the j-function, or $f_1(u) = (e^u - 1)/u$, the reciprocal of the generating functions of Bernoulli numbers, they play a crucial role in a noncommutative version of "chain rule" in different areas of mathematics. In local index formula, the $\hat{A}$-genus naturally through the Jacobian of the exponential map of a Lie group G: in exponential coordinates $d\exp a = j_{\mathfrak{g}}(h)dh$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra, dh is a Haar measure and

$$j_{\mathfrak{g}} = \det_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(-f_1(\mathrm{ad}_h)\right) = \det_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(-\frac{e^{\mathrm{ad}_h}-1}{\mathrm{ad}_h}\right).$$

See Proposition 5.1 in [1]. In our operator theoretical calculus, the j-function arises from differentiating the conformal factor e^h:

$$\nabla e^h = f_1(\mathrm{ad}_h)(\nabla h) = e^h\frac{e^{\mathrm{ad}_h}-1}{\mathrm{ad}_h}(\nabla h). \tag{1.5}$$

For the other factor $e^{u/2}$ in (1.4), our understanding is only at the computational level. The factor depends on the dimension $m = \dim M$, it is a sum of exponential functions in higher dimensions. The dependence on the dimension reflects the fact that if we rescale

the metric by a factor e^{-2h}, then the volume is rescaled by e^{-mh}. On the other hand, it also somehow counts how many times do we have to move e^h in front of ∇e^h during the lengthy calculation. It is worth mentioning that in the theory of characteristic classes, those functions are used as formal power series. It is quite surprising that in our context, they are treated as actual functions. For instance, in [14], the positivist of the function $\tilde{K}_0$ plays a key role.

The functional relations are derived by computing the gradient of the Einstein-Hilbert action $F_{\rm EH}(h) := V_2(1, D_h^2) = \varphi_0(R_{D_h})$ in two different ways as indicated in the equation. The variation of the local formula $\varphi_0(R_{D_h})$ works for all even dimensional cases. On the other hand, in dimension two, the right hand side of (4.15) becomes zero, as a consequence, variation of $V_2(1, D_h^2)$ leads to only the notion of conformal index in [14] Section 2.1. The factor $(m-2)$ in the right hand side of (4.15) can be normalized by passing from the heat kernel coefficient to the log-determinant functional. Therefore in dimension two, the appropriate functional to explore the curvature consistency is the Ray-Singer determinant, see [14]. Nevertheless, we start with dimension four. By comparing the local curvature functions appeared in the gradient, we have for instance, the first relation:

$$-8j_0\frac{\sinh(u/4)}{u}\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(u) = (T+\tilde{T})(u),\ j_0 = (m-2)/2,\ m = \dim M, \tag{1.6}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} T(u) &= 2j_0\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(0)f_1(-j_0u) + \mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(u,-u),\\ \tilde{T}(u) &= T(-u)e^{-u}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_1(u)$ is defined in (1.5). The second relation is too lengthy to write it down in the introduction, see Section 4.1 for details. The internal relations gives strong conceptual verification for the whole machinery we have developed to compute the curvature, especially for the Mathematica code which is hidden behind the paper. So far, the relations are the only known conceptual properties for the two variable local curvature function $\mathcal{H}_{\not{D}_h}(u,v)$.

We conclude the introduction with an outline of the paper. In Section 2, we set up the basic notations for our noncommutative manifolds. The main results are recorded in Section 3 and 4. In section 5, we briefly review Widom's pseudo differential calculus, compare with [28], the calculus works on vector bundles. All the notations extend naturally to the noncommutative setting by the deformation machinery discussed in Section 2. On the computational side, the whole algorithm has been explained in [28] in great detail. What is new in Section 6 is in the computation for the local curvature functions in all even dimensions.

2. Notations for Connes-Landi deformations

The class of noncommutative manifolds studied in this paper is obtained by deforming Riemannian manifolds along a torus action. The spectral triple $(C^\infty(M_\theta), L^2(\$), \not{D})$ was originally proposed in Connes-Landi [12]. The analytic aspect of the deformation theory (known as θ-deformation) was developed even earlier by Rieffel [29]. One of the reasons the author started to look at those examples is the gauge theory aspects studied in [5],

in which the deformed algebra $C^\infty(M_\theta)$ is called a toric noncommutative manifold. The author also followed the name in the previous work [28]. Other related work on this subject are [22, 6, 34].

In this section, we will follow the notations use in [5] and [24].

2.1. **Deformation along $\mathbb{T}^n$.** Let M be a closed (compact without boundary) Riemannian manifolds with a torus action as isometries: $\mathbb{T}^n \subset \mathrm{Iso}(M)$. Then $C^\infty(M)$ becomes a smooth representation of $\mathbb{T}^n$ via the pull-back action:

$$U_t(f)(x) = f(t^{-1}\cdot x),\ \ x \in M,\ t \in \mathbb{T}^n,\ f \in C^\infty(M). \tag{2.1}$$

From Fourier theory on torus, $C^\infty(M)$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading, namely any element f has a isotypical decomposition:

$$f = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}^n} f_r,\ \text{ with } f_r = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} U_t(f)e^{-2\pi i r.t}dt. \tag{2.2}$$

Notice that f_r are eigenvectors for the torus action: $U_t(f_r) = e^{2\pi i r.t} f_r$, $t \in \mathbb{T}^n$. The pointwise multiplication in $C^\infty(M)$ can be written as a convolution:

$$fg = \sum_{r,l} f_r g_l,\ \text{ with } f = \sum_r f_r,\ g = \sum_l g_r. \tag{2.3}$$

To start the deformation, we fix a $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix θ, then $\chi_\theta(r,l) = \exp(i\pi\langle\theta r, l\rangle)$ where $r, l \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, gives a bi-character on $\mathbb{Z}^n$. The deformed multiplication $\times_\theta : C^\infty(M)\times C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$ is defined by twisting the convolution in (2.3) above by χ_θ:

$$f \times_\theta g = \sum_{r,l} \chi_\theta(r,l) f_r g_l. \tag{2.4}$$

We obtain a new algebra $C^\infty(M_\theta) := (C^\infty(M), \times_\theta)$, by keeping the underlying topological vector space and replacing the pointwise multiplication for functions by the deformed one $\times_\theta$.

To obtain a spectral triple, we require M to be a spin manifold, denote the spinor bundle by $\not{S}$ and the Dirac operator $\not{D}$ acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\not{S})$ of L^2-sections of $\not{S}$. The torus action can be lifted to $\not{S}$ upto a double cover: $c : \tilde{\mathbb{T}}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$. The isometric assumption of the action implies that $\mathcal{H}$ is a unitary representation of $\mathbb{T}^n$. Functions on M are bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ via left multiplication: $f \mapsto L_f$, $\forall f \in C^\infty(M)$. Observe that

$$L_{U_t(f)} = U_{\tilde{t}} L_f U_{-\tilde{t}},\ \ \forall t = c(\tilde{t}) \in \mathbb{T}^n,\ \tilde{t} \in \tilde{\mathbb{T}}^n.$$

We can extend the adjoint on the right hand side to all bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$:

$$T \mapsto U_{\tilde{t}} T U_{-\tilde{t}},\ \ T \in B(\mathcal{H}),\ \tilde{t} \in \tilde{\mathbb{T}}^n,$$

which make $B(\mathcal{H})$ into a $\mathbb{T}^n$-module. Same deformation (as in (2.4)) applies to operators, namely for any $\mathbb{T}^n$-smooth operator T and vector $v \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\pi^\theta(T)(v) := \sum_{r,l} \chi_\theta(r,l) T_r(v_l) \tag{2.5}$$

defines a deformed operator $\pi^\theta(T)$.

It was shown in [12] that the triple $(C^\infty(M_\theta), L^2(\not{S}), \not{D})$ satisfies all axioms of a noncommutative spin geometry.

2.2. **Deformation of Riemannian geometry.** The deformation extends to toric equivariant vector bundles (cf. [5]) over M. It simply means that we can deform the $C^\infty(M)$-module structure on the smooth sections to $C^\infty(M_\theta)$-bimodules using the same formula (2.4). For instance, the left action of $C^\infty(M_\theta)$ is defined in (2.4) by taking f being a function while g being a section. Moreover, we can deform the whole tensor calculus. Again, in (2.4), we can set f and g to be two vector fields X and Y, then replace the multiplication by the fiberwise tensor product $\otimes$, then the right hand side of (2.4) defines the deformed tensor product $X \otimes_\theta Y$. For one-form ω, the pointwise contraction $X \cdot \omega \to X \cdot_\theta \omega$ is deformed in the same fashion. They share a mixed associativity:

$$(X \otimes_\theta Y) \cdot_\theta \omega = X \otimes_\theta (Y \cdot_\theta \omega).$$

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection, in fact, a toric-equivariant one will work. What we need is the fact that the connection preserve the isotypic components (2.2), for example, for a smooth function, $\nabla f_r = (\nabla f)_r$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The upshot is the Leibniz property, for instance,

$$\nabla(X \otimes_\theta Y) = (\nabla X) \otimes_\theta Y + X \otimes_\theta (\nabla Y).$$

For the rest of the paper, it suffices to just accept the existence of the deformation, the explicit formula (2.4) no longer matters. We will always use the deformed operations and skip notations like $\times_\theta$, $\pi^\theta(\cdot)$ and $\otimes_\theta$. We conclude this section with a few remarks about the notations in our main result Theorem 3.5.

(1) For a function $h \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$, the exponential $k = e^h \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$ is a smooth function on M, because $C^\infty(M_\theta) = C^\infty(M)$ as a vector space, which has two interpretations. As an operator, we have $\pi^\theta(k) = \exp(\pi^\theta(h))$. In terms of a function, k is obtained by applying the exponential power series to h with respect to $\times_\theta$.

(2) The space of one-forms $\Gamma(T^*M)$ becomes a bimodule over $C^\infty(M_\theta)$ after deformation, the differences can be seen from some elementary examples, for a smooth function h

$$\nabla(h^2) = h\nabla h + (\nabla h)h \neq 2h(\nabla h) \text{ or } 2(\nabla h)h.$$

What is more interesting is the formula for ∇e^h, see (1.5).

(3) Functions or sections which are invariant under the torus become central elements after deformation, examples include the scalar curvature function $\mathcal{S}$, metric tensor g^{-1} on the cotangent bundle, etc. Indeed, in (2.4), if one of the functions is invariant under the torus action, then the deformed product is reduced to the commutative version. In particular, in (3.26), we have $(\nabla^2 h) \cdot_\theta g^{-1} = (\nabla^2 h) g^{-1} = -\Delta h$, for the other term $(\nabla h)(\nabla h) g^{-1}$, it means

$$(\nabla h) \otimes_\theta (\nabla h) \cdot_\theta g^{-1} = (\nabla h) \otimes_\theta (\nabla h) \cdot g^{-1},$$

which is sort of a deformed inner product $\langle \nabla h, \nabla h \rangle_\theta$. On noncommutative two tori, it equals the Dirichlet quadratic form $\sum_{j=1}^1 (\delta_j h)^2$ defined in [14].

3. Conformal geometry and the scalar curvature

When proposing conformal geometry in the noncommutative setting, the first criterion for us is the following: the new version should include the Riemannian counterpart as a special case. Thanks to the underlying spin structure, the construction is more straightforward than the one in [14], which is a special case explored in [13]. The basic notions of the conformal geometry of Connes-Landi spectral triples are summarize in Table 1 in the introduction.

3.1. **Conformal change of metric.** Taking advantage of the existence of the Spin structure in our setting, conformal change of metric for Connes-Landi spectral triple is a special case of perturbed spectral triple in [13], Section 2.2. Let us quickly review the motivation explained in [13]. On a Spin manifold M, let $\$^g$ be the spinor bundle associated to g. For a conformal change of metric $g' = e^{-2h}g$, with $h \in C^\infty(M)$ self-adjoint, there exists a Spin-equivariant gauge transformation $\beta^g_{g'}$ sending g-spinorial frames to g'-spinorial frames. The gauge transformed Dirac operator ${}^g\not{D}^{g'} := \beta^{g'}_g \not{D}^{g'} \beta^g_{g'}$ was computed in [4]:

$$ {}^g\not{D}^{g'} = e^{(m+1)h/2} \not{D}^g e^{(1-m)h/2}, \text{ where } m = \dim M. \tag{3.1}$$

In order to get isometries at the level of L^2-sections, we have to account for the change of the Riemannian volume form $\mathrm{Vol}_{g'} = e^{-mh}\mathrm{Vol}_g$ and rescale the gauge transformations $\beta^g_{g'}$

$$\tilde{\beta}^g_{g'} := e^{mh} \circ \beta^g_{g'} = \beta^g_{g'} \circ e^{mh}$$

from $L^2(\$^g)$ to $L^2(\$^{g'})$. Finally, the conformal change of metric leads to the following perturbation:

$$\not{D} \mapsto D_h := e^{h/2} \not{D} e^{h/2}.$$

Given a Connes-Landi deformation $(C^\infty(M_\theta), L^2(\$), \not{D})$, the conformal change of metric is implemented by a perturbation of the Dirac operator: $\not{D} \mapsto \not{D}_h$, where $D_h := k^{1/2}\not{D}k^{1/2}$, where $k = e^h$ and $h \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$ self-adjoint. Denote the modular operator

$$\Delta(x) := e^{-2h} x e^{2h}, \ \forall x \in C^\infty(M_\theta).$$

It was shown in [13] that the twisted commutator $[D_h, \Delta^{-1/2}(x)]$ is bounded for all $x \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$. In particular, we have

Proposition 3.1. *The perturbed spectral triple $(C^\infty(M_\theta), L^2(\$), D_h)$ is a $\Delta^{-1/2}$-twisted (also called a modular) spectral triple in the sense of* Definition 3.1 *of* [13].

3.2. **Change of volume form.** For any section $\psi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{End}(\$))$, we denote by φ_0 the trace functional with respect to the metric g

$$\varphi_0(\psi) = \int_M \mathrm{Tr}_x(\psi) d\mathrm{vol}_g, \tag{3.2}$$

where Tr_x is the fiberwise trace. After the conformal change of metric defined in the previous section, the new volume form is given by the non-tracial weight:

$$\varphi_h(\psi) := \varphi_0(\psi e^{-mh}). \tag{3.3}$$

Both φ_0 and φ_h have an intrinsic definition via Wodzicki residue.

Definition 3.1 (Wodzicki residue)**.** Let P be a deformed pseudo differential operator acting on the spinor sections $\Gamma(\not{S})$, we define

$$\fint P := \mathrm{Res}_{z=0}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(P\not{D}^{-z}\right). \tag{3.4}$$

Upto a constant factor, we have for any $\psi \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$:

$$\varphi_0(\psi) = \fint \psi \not{D}^{-m}, \quad \varphi_h(\psi) = \fint \psi D_h^{-m}.$$

3.3. **Local curvature functions.** The following family of functions appears in the calculation in section 6. In this subsection, we assume that $s,t>0$, $u\leq 0$, $p,q,l\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m=\dim M$ as usual. Denote:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{K}_{(p,q)}(u,s) &= (1-u)^{-p}(s-u)^{-q},\\ \tilde{H}_{(p,q,l)}(u,s,t) &= (1-u)^{-p}(s-u)^{-q}(st-u)^{-l}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

If one substitutes the functions defined above into Figure 10 in appendix C, for example:

$$\texttt{Kmod}[2,1,\texttt{s},\texttt{dimM}]\to\tilde{K}_{(2,1)}(u,s),\ \ \texttt{H}[2,1,1,\texttt{s},\texttt{t},\texttt{dimM}]\to\tilde{H}_{(2,1,1)}(u,s,t),$$

and then take the summation, the result is the following function:

$$F(u,s,m)=\frac{m\left(\sqrt{s}+1\right)(u-1)+2\left(2\sqrt{s}+u+1\right)}{m(u-1)^3(u-s)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Similarly, to get the two-variable function for the modular scalar curvature, one has to replace the terms in Figure 8 and 9 in appendix C by $\tilde{K}_{(p,q)}$ and $\tilde{H}_{(p,q,l)}$ and sum together. We record the result:

$$\begin{aligned}&G(u,s,t,m)\\ =\ &\Big\{s^{3/2}\left(m\left(\left(2\sqrt{s}+1\right)\sqrt{t}+2\right)-4\left(\left(2\sqrt{s}+1\right)\sqrt{t}+1\right)\right)\\ &+u^2\left(2\left(s+2\sqrt{s}+3\right)\left(\sqrt{s}\sqrt{t}+1\right)-m\left(s^{3/2}\sqrt{t}-2s\left(\sqrt{t}-1\right)-2\sqrt{s}+2\right)\right)\\ &-u\sqrt{s}\left[s\left(6\sqrt{t}+4\right)+\sqrt{s}\left(10-4\sqrt{t}\right)-2\left(\sqrt{t}+1\right)\right.\\ &\left.+m\left(2s^{3/2}\sqrt{t}+2\sqrt{s}\left(\sqrt{t}-1\right)+2s+\sqrt{t}+2\right)\right]\\ &+u^3\left(m\sqrt{s}\sqrt{t}+2m+2\sqrt{s}+2\right)\Big\}\left(m(u-1)^3(u-s)^2(u-st)\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

When $m=\dim M\geq 4$ and is even, the local curvature functions in theorem 3.2 are determined by the germs of F and G at $u=0$, let $\tilde{j}_0=(m-4)/2$:

$$K^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(s)=\tilde{j}_0!\frac{d^{\tilde{j}_0}}{du^{\tilde{j}_0}}\Big|_{u=0}F(u,s,m), \tag{3.8}$$

$$H^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(s,t)=\tilde{j}_0!\frac{d^{\tilde{j}_0}}{du^{\tilde{j}_0}}\Big|_{u=0}G(u,s,t,m). \tag{3.9}$$

The appearance of the differential $\frac{d^{\tilde{j}_0}}{du^{\tilde{j}_0}}$ is due to Lemma 6.1. The proof can be found in Section 6.3.

As an example, we compute in dimension four, in which $\tilde{j}_0 = 0$:

$$K^{(4)}_{\Delta_k}(s) = F(0, s, m) = -(2s)^{-1}, \quad H^{(4)}_{\Delta_k}(s) = G(0, s, t, m) = (s^{3/2}t)^{-1}.$$

The length of the expressions grows fast when the dimension increases, the explicit expressions in dimension 6 and 8 are listed at the end of section 6.3.

3.4. **The modular scalar curvature.** In the rest of the paper, M will be a even dimensional closed spin manifold which admits a Connes-Landi deformation M_θ, where θ is a skew symmetric matrix. Let $h = h^* \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$ be a log-Weyl factor, then the perturbation $\not{D} \to D_h = e^{h/2}\not{D}e^{h/2}$ represents the conformal change of metric $g \to g' = e^{-2h}g$ in the Riemannian situation. The following heat kernel asymptotic has been established in [28]: $\forall f \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(fe^{-tD_h^2}\right) \backsim_{t\searrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} V_j(f, D_h^2)t^{(j-m)/2}, \quad m = \dim M, \tag{3.10}$$

whose coefficients are functionals on $C^\infty(M_\theta)$.

Definition 3.2. We define the modular scalar curvature $R_{D_h} \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$ associated to the metric D_h to be the action density of the second coefficient:

$$V_2(f, D_h^2) = \varphi_0\left(fR_{D_h}\right), \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M_\theta), \tag{3.11}$$

where the φ_0 is defined in (3.2).

Remark.

(1) To recover the formula for conformal change of metric in Riemannian geometry, one should consider the action density with respect to the new volume functional φ_h in (3.3). Nevertheless, the difference of the two definitions is only a volume factor e^{-mh}. We will stick with the definition above because we will need the expression of R_{D_h} defined above which contains the volume factor e^{-mh}.

(2) Provided the heat kernel asymptotic (3.10), one can quickly show that the Wodzicki residue in Definition 3.1 can be calculated through the perturbed Dirac D_h, namely

$$⨍ P = \mathrm{Res}_{z=0}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(PD_h^{-z}\right). \tag{3.12}$$

By the basic correspondence between the heat kernel asymptotic and the meromorphic extension of the zeta function (cf. [9], Section 11), we have, up to a constant factor:

$$V_2(f, D_h^2) = ⨍ fD_h^{-m+2}, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M_\theta), \quad m = \dim M. \tag{3.13}$$

The right hand side is the intrinsic definition for the scalar curvature in [9] Definition 11.1. From the point of view, the conformal geometry for the Connes-Landi spectral

triple is an example of noncommutative mass scale (cf. [7]) in the corresponding spectral action.

To simplify the calculation, we start with the perturbed Laplacian Δ_k below, where $k = e^h$ is the Weyl factor. Up to a conjugation by $k^{1/2}$, D_k^2 becomes:

$$\Delta_k = k^{1/2} D_k^2 k^{-1/2} = k^2 \not{D} + k[\not{D}, k]\not{D} = k^2 \not{D} + k\mathbf{c}(\nabla k)\not{D}, \tag{3.14}$$

where $\mathbf{c}(\nabla k)$ denotes the deformed Clifford action. We shall see later that the leading symbol of Δ_k is equal to $k^2 |\xi|^2 \in C^\infty(T^*M_\theta)$, where $|\xi|^2$ is the squared length function with respect to the non-deformed Riemannian metric. Therefore we consider the modular operator $\triangle$ and its logarithm $\triangledown$ (called modular derivation):

$$\triangle(\psi) := e^{-2h}\psi e^{2h}, \ \ \triangledown(\psi) := -2\mathrm{ad}_h(\psi) = 2(\psi h - h\psi), \tag{3.15}$$

here ψ can be any vector on which $C^\infty(M_\theta)$ acts from two sides, such as a tensor field or a spinor section over M_θ.

Let $m = \dim M$ even, denote the volume of the unit sphere by

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}) = (2\pi^{m/2})/\Gamma(m/2),$$

we need the following constant in the theorems in this section:

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{m/2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(m/2)}. \tag{3.16}$$

Before stating the main result, we recall the change of the scalar curvature $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to conformal change of metric $g' = e^{-2h}g$, $m = \dim M$ (cf. [2], Theorem 1.159):

$$\mathcal{S}_{g'} = e^{2h}\left(2(m-1)\Delta h - (m-2)(m-1)\left\langle dh, dh\right\rangle_{g^{-1}} + \mathcal{S}_g\right), \tag{3.17}$$

where g^{-1} is the metric tensor on the cotangent bundle, Δh is the Laplacian of h and $\left\langle dh, dh\right\rangle_{g^{-1}} = |df|^2$ is the squared length of the one-form dh with respect to the starting metric g.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M_θ be a toric noncommutative manifold (or Connes-Landi deformation) with $m = \dim M$ even. For $k = e^h$, let Δ_k be the perturbed Laplacian defined in* (3.14) *and $R_{\Delta_k}(k)$ be the action density function for $V_2(\cdot, \Delta_k)$ in* (3.10) *with respect to φ_0 in* (3.2)*, that is*

$$V_2(f, \Delta_k) = \varphi_0(fR_{\Delta_k}(k)), \ \ \forall f \in C^\infty(M_\theta).$$

Up to the overall constant given in (3.16)*, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\Delta_k}(k) = {} & k^{-m+1} K^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(\triangle)(\nabla^2 k)\cdot g^{-1} + k^{-m} H^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(\triangle_{(1)}, \triangle_{(2)})\,(\nabla k \nabla k)\cdot g^{-1} \\ & + c^{(m)}_\Delta k^{-m+2}\mathcal{S}_\Delta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The local curvature functions $K^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}$ and $H^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}$ are given in equation (3.8) *and* (3.9) *respectively.*

The formula (3.18) contains all the necessary ingredients in the Riemannian version: $\mathcal{S}_\Delta$ is the scalar curvature function, g^{-1} stands for the dual metric tensor on T^*M and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection. The constant $c_\Delta^{(m)}$ is defined in (6.14). Internal operations, like tensor product or contractions, between functions and tensor fields have been discussed at the end of Section 2.2.

The quantum part of the curvature is given by the action of the modular operator $\mathbb{\Delta}$ (in (3.15)) through two local curvature functions $K_{\Delta_k}^{(m)}$ and $H_{\Delta_k}^{(m)}$ discussed in the previous section 3.3, see equation (3.8) and (3.9).

Now we are ready to compute the expression of R_{D_h} in Definition (3.2). Notice that for any $f \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(fe^{-tD_h^2}\right) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(k^{1/2}fe^{-tD_h^2}k^{-1/2}\right) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(k^{1/2}fk^{-1/2}e^{-t\Delta_h}\right),$$

hence

$$V_2(f, D_k^2) = V_2(k^{1/2}fk^{-1/2}, \Delta_h) = \int_M k^{1/2}fk^{-1/2}R_{\Delta_h} = \int_M fk^{-1/2}R_{\Delta_h}k^{1/2}.$$

Therefore the scalar curvature densities for $\not{D}_k^2$ and Δ_k are related via

$$R_{D_h} = k^{-1/2}R_{\Delta_k}k^{1/2}. \tag{3.19}$$

Corollary 3.3. *Keep the notations. The modular scalar curvature R_{D_h} for the metric $D_h = e^{h/2}\not{D}e^{h/2}$ shares the same form as R_{Δ_k} defined in* (3.18) *with the new local curvature functions given by*

$$K_{D_k^2}^{(m)}(s) = s^{1/4}K_{\Delta_k}^{(m)}(s), \;\; H_{D_k^2}^{(m)}(s,t) = s^{1/4}t^{1/4}H_{\Delta_k}^{(m)}(s,t)$$

with $s, t > 0$.

3.5. **Modular scalar curvature in terms of $h = \log k$.** For $k = e^h$, we have, similar to [14] Sec. 6.1 and [26] Example 3.13, we have

$$\nabla k = kf_1(\mathbb{\nabla}/2)(\nabla h), \tag{3.20}$$

$$\nabla^2 k = k\left(f_1(\mathbb{\nabla}/2)(\nabla^2 h) + 2g_2(\mathbb{\nabla}_{(1)}/2, \mathbb{\nabla}_{(2)}/2)(\nabla h \nabla h)\right), \tag{3.21}$$

where $\mathbb{\nabla} = -2\mathrm{ad}_h$ is the modular derivation and the functions f_1 and g_2 are given by

$$f_1(s) = \frac{e^s - 1}{s}, \tag{3.22}$$

$$g_2(s,t) = \frac{f_1(s+t) - f_1(s)}{t} = \frac{se^{s+t} - e^s(s+t) + t}{st(s+t)}. \tag{3.23}$$

We remark that $1/f_1(s) = s/(e^s - 1)$ is the generating function of Bernoulli numbers. Equation (3.20) can be viewed and a generalization of the chain rule in calculus. One can compare it with the differential of the exponential map of Lie groups whose Jacobian involves the same function, for instance, Prop. 5.1 in [1].

After the substitution, R_{Δ_k} in (3.18) becomes

$$R_{\Delta_k}(h) = k^{-m+2}\left(\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(\nabla\!\!\!/)(\nabla^2 h) + \mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(\nabla\!\!\!/_{(1)}, \nabla\!\!\!/_{(2)})(\nabla h \nabla h)\right) g^{-1} + c_\Delta k^{-m+2}\mathcal{S}_\Delta. \tag{3.24}$$

Proposition 3.4. *The modular curvature functions appeared in* (3.18) *and* (3.24) *are related by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(s) &= K^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(e^s) f_1(s/2), \\ \mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(s,t) &= e^{s/2} H^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(e^s, e^t) f_1(s/2) f_1(t/2) + 2K_{\Delta_k}(e^{s+t}) g_2(s/2, t/2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Recall (3.19) which states that R_{D_h} and R_{Δ_k} differ by a conjugation by $k^{1/2}$. We finally achieve the complete formula for the modular scalar curvature in terms of the log-Weyl factor h and the associated modular derivative $\nabla\!\!\!/ = -2\mathrm{ad}_h$.

Theorem 3.5 (Modular scalar curvature)**.** *For the perturbed Dirac operator* $D_h = e^{h/2} \not{D} e^{h/2}$ *which represents a noncommutative metric that is conformal to the original one, we have the following conformal change of scalar curvature: upto a overall constant defined in* (3.16)*, the associated scalar curvature density in* Definition 3.2 *is given by:*

$$R_{D_h} = e^{(-m+2)h}\left(\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(\nabla\!\!\!/)(\nabla^2 h) + \mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(\nabla\!\!\!/_{(1)}, \nabla\!\!\!/_{(2)})(\nabla h \nabla h)\right) g^{-1} + c_{\Delta_k} e^{(-m+2)h}\mathcal{S}_\Delta, \ \ m = \dim M, \tag{3.26}$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(s) &= e^{s/4} K^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(e^s) f_1(s/2), \\ \mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(s,t) &= e^{s/4} e^{t/4}\left(e^{s/2} H^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(e^s, e^t) f_1(s/2) f_1(t/2) + 2g_2(s/2, t/2) K^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(e^{s+t})\right). \end{aligned}$$

The functions f_1 *and* g_2 *are given in* (3.22) *and* (3.23)*, while for* K_{Δ_k} *and* H_{Δ_k}*, see equation* (3.8) *and* (3.9)*.*

Notations in (3.26) and (3.18) are quite similar, thus we do not repeat the explanation again. However, it worth mentioning that equation (3.26) gives a more transparent comparison with the formula in Riemannian geometry (3.17). Indeed, one can get rid of the volume factor e^{-mh} by using the new volume form φ_h in (3.3) to define the action density instead of φ_0 in Definition 3.2. Also, observe that in the commutative setting $\nabla\!\!\!/ = 2\mathrm{ad}_h = 0$, as a result, $\mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(\nabla\!\!\!/)(\nabla^2 h) = \mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(0)(\nabla^2 h)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\not{D}_h}(\nabla\!\!\!/_{(1)}, \nabla\!\!\!/_{(2)})(\nabla h \nabla h) = \mathcal{H}_{\not{D}_h}(0,0)(\nabla h \nabla h)$, therefore (3.26) is reduced to (3.18) completely. Informally speaking, the deformation of the scalar curvature is obtained by adding extra action of modular derivations onto the conformal change of the scalar curvature in Riemannian geometry.

The explicit expressions for $\mathcal{K}^{(4)}_{\not{D}_h}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(4)}_{\not{D}_h}$ are listed in (4.11).

4. The Einstein-Hilbert action

In this section, we will perform similar calculation as in [14] section 4 to study the variation of the noncommutative analog of the Einstein-Hilbert action. More precisely, we shall compute the variation in two ways, as a result, we obtain a abstract proof of the functional relations between $\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}$. Since $\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}$ has been computed explicitly, a straightforward verification of the relations provides a conceptual confirmation of our computation. On the other hand, such relations will be a important key to gain more conceptual understanding about the two-variable function $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}$. We will first state the relations in section 4.1 and the proof occupies the later section 4.2.

4.1. Internal relations between $\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}$.

Let $m = \dim M$ and $j_0 = (m-2)/2$. We define:

$$K_{\mathrm{EH}}(s) = -8j_0 \frac{\sinh(s/4)}{s} \mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(s), \tag{4.1}$$

$$H_{\mathrm{EH}}(s,t) = -8j_0 \frac{\sinh((s+t)/4)}{s+t} \mathcal{H}_{\not{D}_h}(s,t). \tag{4.2}$$

They are the local curvature functions for the gradient of the Einstein-Hilbert action found in corollary 4.3.

To link K_{EH} and H_{EH}, we need the following one variable functions:

$$T(s) = 2j_0 \mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(0) f_1(-j_0 s) + \mathcal{H}_{\not{D}_h}(s,-s), \tag{4.3}$$

$$\tilde{T}(s) = T(-s)e^{-s} \tag{4.4}$$

and two variable functions:

$$L(s,t) = -2T(s) j_0 f_1(-j_0(s+t)), \tag{4.5}$$

$$M(s,t) = 2e^{-j_0(s+t)} \left[\frac{T(-t) - T(s)}{s+t} + \frac{T(t) - T(-s)}{s+t} e^{j_0 t} \right], \tag{4.6}$$

$$P(s,t) = -2j_0 f_1(-j_0 s) T(t) + (-2) \frac{T(s+t) - T(t)}{s} + 2 \frac{T(s+t) - T(s)}{t}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$Q(s,t) = -2j_0 f_1(-j_0 s) \tilde{T}(t) + (-2) \frac{\tilde{T}(s+t) - \tilde{T}(t)}{s} + 2 \frac{\tilde{T}(s+t) - \tilde{T}(s)}{t}. \tag{4.8}$$

In dimension four, that is $j_0 = 1$, all the functions $T, \tilde{T}, L, M, P, Q$ were computed in [17], Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Keep the notations as above. The internal relations are given by*

$$K_{\mathrm{EH}}(s) = -(T + \tilde{T})(s), \tag{4.9}$$

$$H_{\mathrm{EH}}(s,t) = (L + M - P - Q)(s,t). \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. Based on the calculation in Section 4.2, the local curvature functions on the left hand side appeared in Corollary 4.3 while those on the right hand side are taken from

Proposition 4.6. They both represent the gradient of the Einstein-Hilbert action thus should be the same. □

Remark. The relations (4.9) and (4.10) has two significant applications:

(1) The explicit expressions of $\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(s)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(s,t)$ are obtained after enormous amount of computation. We obtain a strong conceptual confirmation for our calculation by verifying they do satisfy (4.9) and (4.10).
(2) As explained in the introduction, there is some familiar pattern shown in $\mathcal{K}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(s)$, but for the two-variable function $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}_{\not{D}_h}(s,t)$, we have very little conceptual understanding for the present. The relations (4.9) and (4.10) might provide a starting point when we know the one variable function better.

Let us perform a quick verification in dimension four for (4.9) to illustrate the first remark above. When $m = \dim M = 4$, $j_0 = (m-2)/2 = 1$, from Theorem 3.5, we can compute explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^{(4)}_{\not{D}_h}(u) &= -\frac{2e^{-\frac{u}{2}}\sinh\left(\frac{u}{4}\right)}{u}, \\ \mathcal{H}^{(4)}_{\not{D}_h}(u,v) &= \frac{4e^{\frac{1}{4}(-3)(u+v)}\left(u\left(-e^{v/2}\right)+\left(e^{u/2}-1\right)e^{v/2}v+u\right)}{uv(u+v)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

In particular

$$H^{(4)}_{\not{D}_h}(u,-u) = -\frac{-2u-4e^{-\frac{u}{2}}+4}{u^2}.$$

Hence we can calculate $K_{\mathrm{EH}}(u)$ from the right hand side of (4.9).

$$\begin{aligned} &T(u)+T(-u)e^{-u} \\ &= \frac{-2u-4e^{-\frac{u}{2}}+4}{u^2} - e^{-u}\left(-\frac{2u-4e^{u/2}+4}{u^2}-\frac{e^u-1}{u}\right)-\frac{e^{-u}-1}{u}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

On the other hand, we compute the left hand side of (4.9) using (4.1):

$$-8j_0\frac{\sinh(u/4)}{u}\mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(u) = -\frac{8\left(e^{-\frac{3u}{4}}-e^{-\frac{u}{4}}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{u}{4}\right)}{u^2}, \tag{4.13}$$

Both of (4.12) and (4.13) can be simplified to $-4e^{-u}u^{-2}\left(e^{u/2}-1\right)^2$.

Interested readers are encouraged to perform similar verification for relation (4.10).

4.2. **Variation of the Einstein-Hilbert action.** If we think of the spectral triple $(C^\infty(M_\theta), L^2(\$), \not{D})$ as an noncommutative Riemannian manifold, the conformal change of metric is implemented by a twisted version $(C^\infty(M_\theta), L^2(\$), D_h)$ with $D_h = e^{h/2}\not{D}e^{h/2}$

and $h = h^* \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$. Then the straightforward analogue of the Einstein-Hilbert action (as a functional in h) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathrm{EH}}(h) := \varphi_0(R_{\not{D}_h}) = \varphi_0 \Big(& e^{(2-m)h} \mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(\not{\nabla})(\nabla^2 h) g^{-1} \\ & + e^{(2-m)h} \mathcal{H}_{\not{D}_h}(\not{\nabla}_{(1)}, \not{\nabla}_{(2)})(\nabla h \nabla h) g^{-1} + e^{(2-m)h} c_{\Delta_h}(m) \mathcal{S}_\Delta \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Let us make a remark about the comparison to the commutative situation. In Riemannian geometry, the Einstein-Hilbert action is defined as $F(g) = \int \mathcal{S}_g d\mathrm{vol}_g$, where the volume form $d\mathrm{vol}_g$ is replaced by the functional φ_h defined in (3.3). Nevertheless, the change of volume form is already included in the definition of $R_{\not{D}_h}$, that is why $R_{\not{D}_h}$ has the volume factor $e^{(2-m)h}$ while for the scalar curvature in (3.17) the factor is e^{2h}.

Similar to the previous work in noncommutative tori, we would like to compute the gradient of the Einstein-Hilbert action in two different ways:

(1) The variation of the trace of the heat operator: $\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tD_h^2})$,
(2) The variation of the local formula appeared in the right side of (4.14).

First, we recall the definition of Gâteaux differential.

Definition 4.1. For a fixed $h = h^* \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$, the gradient $\mathrm{grad}_h F_{\mathrm{EH}} \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$ of the Einstein-Hilbert action with respect to the functional φ_0 (see (3.2)) is uniquely determined by the following property:

$$\varphi_0(a \,\mathrm{grad}_h F_{\mathrm{EH}}) = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} F_{\mathrm{EH}}(h + a\varepsilon), \ \ \forall a = a^* \in C^\infty(M_\theta).$$

For the variation of the heat kernel, the computation is exactly given in the proof of in [14], we recall the results below, the proofs for Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 can be found in Theorem 4.8 in [14].

Proposition 4.2. *We fix a log-Weyl factor h, for $a \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$, let*

$$D_\varepsilon := D_{h+a\varepsilon} = e^{(h+\varepsilon a)/2} \not{D} e^{(h+\varepsilon a)/2}.$$

The variation $V_2(1, D_\varepsilon^2)$ is given by:

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} V_2(1, D_\varepsilon^2) = \frac{2-m}{2} V_2\Big(\int_{-1}^{1} e^{uh/2} a e^{-uh/2} du, D_h^2\Big). \tag{4.15}$$

Corollary 4.3. *Let $m = \dim M$ and $j_0 = (m-2)/2$. The gradient $\mathrm{grad}_h \mathrm{EH}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{grad}_h F_{\mathrm{EH}} = & e^{-2j_0 h} \Big(K_{\mathrm{EH}}(\not{\nabla})(\nabla^2 h) + H_{\mathrm{EH}}(\not{\nabla}, \not{\nabla})(\nabla h \nabla h) \Big) g^{-1} \\ & + (-2j_0) c(m) e^{-2j_0 h} \mathcal{S}_\Delta, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mathrm{EH}}(s) &= -8j_0 \frac{\sinh(s/4)}{s} \mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(s), \\ H_{\mathrm{EH}}(s,t) &= -8j_0 \frac{\sinh((s+t)/4)}{s+t} \mathcal{H}_{\not{D}_h}(s,t). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us compute the variation from the second perspective. Due to the trace property of φ_0:

$$\varphi_0(\not{\Delta}(\psi)) = \varphi_0(e^{-2h}\psi e^{2h}) = \varphi_0(\psi), \ \ \forall \psi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{End}(\not{S})).$$

The local expression in (4.14) can be simplified to the form in Proposition 4.5.

Lemma 4.4. *For Schwartz functions $T(s)$ and $H(s,t)$, we have*

$$\varphi_0\left(e^{-2j_0h}T(\not{\nabla})(\nabla^2 h)g^{-1}\right) = T(0)\varphi_0\left(e^{-2j_0h}(\nabla^2 h)g^{-1}\right)$$

and

$$\varphi_0\left(e^{-2j_0h}H(\not{\nabla},\not{\nabla})(\nabla h\nabla h)g^{-1}\right) = \varphi_0\left(e^{-2j_0h}G(\not{\nabla})(\nabla h)(\nabla h)g^{-1}\right),$$

with $G(s) = H(s,-s)$.

As consequences, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h}\mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(\not{\nabla})(\nabla^2 h)g^{-1}\right) &= \mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(0)\varphi_0(e^{(2-m)h}(\nabla^2 h)g^{-1}),\\ \varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h}\mathcal{H}_{\not{D}_h}(\not{\nabla}_{(1)},\not{\nabla}_{(2)})(\nabla h\nabla h)g^{-1}\right) &= \varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h}G(\not{\nabla})(\nabla h)(\nabla h)g^{-1}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $G(s) = \mathcal{H}_{\not{D}_h}(s,-s)$. The two terms above on the right hand side are indeed of the same form by an integration by parts argument

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_0(e^{(2-m)h}(\nabla^2 h)g^{-1}) &= -\varphi_0\left((\nabla e^{(2-m)h})(\nabla h)g^{-1}\right)\\ &= -(2-m)\varphi_0\left(f_1\left((2-m)\not{\nabla}/2\right)(\nabla h)(\nabla h)g^{-1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

So far, we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5. *The Einstein-Hilbert action* (4.14) *can be simplified to the following form:*

$$F_{\mathrm{EH}}(h) = \varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h}T(\not{\nabla})(\nabla h)(\nabla h)g^{-1}\right) + \varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h}c_{\Delta_k}\mathcal{S}_\Delta\right), \tag{4.16}$$

where

$$T(s) = -(2-m)\mathcal{K}_{\not{D}_h}(0)f_1\left(\frac{(2-m)s}{2}\right) + \mathcal{H}_{\not{D}_h}(s,-s),$$

where $f_1(s)$ is defined in (3.22).

Based on (4.16), the calculation for the variation $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0}F(h+\varepsilon a)$ is quite similar to the calculation shown in [17], Theorem 5.1.

Let $a \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$ be a self-adjoint operator. Recall $\not{\nabla} = -2\mathrm{ad}_h$ and denote

$$\not{\nabla}_{h+\varepsilon a} = -2\mathrm{ad}_{h+\varepsilon a} = \not{\nabla} - 2\varepsilon\mathrm{ad}_a.$$

Proposition 4.6. *Let $m = \dim M$ and $j_0 = (m-2)/2$ as before. For any $h = h^* \in C^\infty(M_\theta)$, the gradient of the action F_{EH} along h (see* Definition 3.2*) is equal to*

$$\mathrm{grad}_h F_{\mathrm{EH}} = e^{-2j_0 h}\left(\tilde{K}_{\mathrm{EH}}(\not\nabla)(\nabla^2 h) + \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{EH}}(\not\nabla,\not\nabla)(\nabla h \nabla h)\right) g^{-1} \tag{4.17}$$

$$+ (-2j_0)c(m)e^{-2j_0 h}\mathcal{S}_\Delta, \tag{4.18}$$

where

$$\tilde{K}_{\mathrm{EH}}(s) = -(T+\tilde{T})(s)$$
$$\tilde{H}_{\mathrm{EH}}(s,t) = (L+M-P-Q)(s,t),$$

where T and $\tilde{T}$ are defined in (4.3) *and* (4.4) *and for L, M, P and Q, see* (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) *and* (4.8).

Remark. One discovers the interal relations discussed in Section 4.1 by comparing the local curvature functions in the proposition above and in Corollary 4.3.

Proof. The variation $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0} F_{\mathrm{EH}}(h+\varepsilon a)$ is decomposed to five parts by the Leibniz rule:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0} F_{\mathrm{EH}}(h+\varepsilon a) = {} & \varphi_0\left(\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} e^{(2-m)(h+\varepsilon a)} T(\not\nabla)(\nabla h)\nabla h g^{-1}\right) \\
& + \varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h}\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} T(\not\nabla_{h+\varepsilon a})(\nabla h)(\nabla h) g^{-1}\right) \\
& + \varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h} T(\not\nabla)(\nabla a)(\nabla h) g^{-1}\right) \\
& + \varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h} T(\not\nabla)(\nabla h)(\nabla a) g^{-1}\right) \\
& + \varphi_0\left(\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} e^{(2-m)(h+\varepsilon a)} c(m)\mathcal{S}_\Delta\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Individually, they can be rewritten in the form:

$$\varphi_0\left(W_1(\not\nabla)(\nabla^2 h) + W_2(\not\nabla,\not\nabla)(\nabla h \nabla h)\right) g^{-1}.$$

The computation is distributed in serveral lemmas stated below according to Table 2.

$\varphi_0\left(\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big\|_{\varepsilon=0} e^{(2-m)(h+\varepsilon a)} T(\not\nabla)(\nabla h)\nabla h g^{-1}\right)$	Lemma 4.7
$\varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h}\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big\|_{\varepsilon=0} T(\not\nabla_{h+\varepsilon a})(\nabla h)(\nabla h) g^{-1}\right)$	Lemma 4.8
$\varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h} T(\not\nabla)(\nabla a)(\nabla h) g^{-1}\right)$	Lemma 4.10
$\varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h} T(\not\nabla)(\nabla h)(\nabla a) g^{-1}\right)$	Lemma 4.11
$\varphi_0\left(\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big\|_{\varepsilon=0} e^{(2-m)(h+\varepsilon a)} c(m)\mathcal{S}_\Delta\right)$	Sepecial case in Lemma 4.7

TABLE 2. The simplification of terms appeared in the left column can be found in the lemmas listed in the right column.

□

The lemmas stated below (from Lemma 4.7 to Lemma 4.11) are almost identical to those in [17], at the end of Section 5.

Lemma 4.7. *Let a be a self-adjoint element in $C^\infty(M_\theta)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The variation*

$$\varphi_0\left(\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} e^{(2-m)(h+\varepsilon a)} T(\not\nabla)(\psi)\psi\right) = \varphi_0\left(a e^{(2-m)h} L(\not\nabla, \not\nabla)(\psi\psi)\right),$$

where

$$L(s,t) = T(s)(2-m) f_1((2-m)(s+t)/2) = 2\frac{e^{(2-m)(s+t)/2}-1}{(s+t)} T(s).$$

In particular, when reduced to the commutative setting, we have:

$$\varphi_0\left(\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} e^{(2-m)(h+\varepsilon a)} \mathcal{S}_\Delta\right) = (2-m)\varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h}\mathcal{S}_\Delta\right),$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\Delta$ is the scalar curvature function for the original metric which commutes with everything even after the deformation.

Lemma 4.8. *Keep the notations. For any $\psi \in \Gamma(\not S)$, we have*

$$\varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h}\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} T(\not\nabla_{h+\varepsilon a})(\psi)\psi)\right) = \varphi_0\left(a e^{(2-m)h} M(\not\nabla, \not\nabla)(\psi\psi)\right),$$

where

$$M(s,t) = 2e^{(2-m)(s+t)/2}\left[\frac{T(-t)-T(s)}{s+t} + \frac{T(t)-T(-s)}{s+t} e^{-(2-m)t/2}\right].$$

Lemma 4.9. *Recall some notations: for any $\psi \in \Gamma(\not S)$,*

$$\not\Delta(\psi) = e^{-2h}\psi e^{2h},\ \not\nabla = -2\mathrm{ad}_h,\ \ \sigma_s(\psi) = \not\Delta^{-is}(\psi),\ \ \textit{for } s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then we have the following Duhamel's formula

$$\nabla\left(\sigma_s(\psi)\right) - \sigma_s\left(\nabla\psi\right) = is\int_0^1 \sigma_{us} \circ \mathrm{ad}_{2\nabla h} \circ \sigma_{(1-u)s} du. \tag{4.19}$$

Moreover

$$\nabla\left(T(\not\nabla)(\psi)\right) = T(\not\nabla)(\nabla\psi) + L_1(\not\nabla, \not\nabla)\left((\nabla h)\psi\right) + L_2(\not\nabla, \not\nabla)\left(\psi(\nabla h)\right),$$

where

$$L_1(s,t) = (-2)\frac{T(s+t)-T(t)}{s},\ \ L_2(s,t) = 2\frac{T(s+t)-T(s)}{t}. \tag{4.20}$$

Lemma 4.10. *Keep the notations.*

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h} T(\not\nabla)(\nabla h)(\nabla a) g^{-1}\right)\\ =\ &-\varphi_0\left(a e^{(2-m)h} T(\not\nabla)(\nabla^2 h)\right) - \varphi_0\left(a e^{(2-m)h} P(\not\nabla, \not\nabla)(\nabla h \nabla h) g^{-1}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$P(s,t) = (2-m)f_1\left(\frac{(2-m)s}{2}\right)T(t) + L_1(s,t) + L_2(s,t),$$

with L_1 and L_2 given in (4.20).

Lemma 4.11. *Keep the notations.*

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_0\left(e^{(2-m)h}T(\not\nabla)(\nabla a)(\nabla h)g^{-1}\right)\\ =\ &-\varphi_0\left(ae^{(2-m)h}\tilde{T}(\not\nabla)(\nabla^2 h)\right) - \varphi_0\left(ae^{(2-m)h}Q(\not\nabla,\not\nabla)(\nabla h\nabla h)g^{-1}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T}(s) &= T(-s)e^{(2-m)s/2},\\ Q(s,t) &= (2-m)f_1\left(\frac{(2-m)s}{2}\right)\tilde{T}(t) + (-2)\frac{\tilde{T}(s+t)-\tilde{T}(t)}{s}\\ &\quad + 2\frac{\tilde{T}(s+t)-\tilde{T}(s)}{t}.\end{aligned}$$

5. Widom's Pseudo Differential Calculus

We will give a quick review of Widom's pseudo differential calculus for vector bundles. Missing proofs can be found in [33, 32]. At the end, we compute the symbol of $\not{D}^2$ in two different ways as an example to demonstrate the difference between the calculus on functions and on spinor sections, also to help the reader get use to the notations. To pass to the noncommutative setting, all the notations stay the same, only the internal operations between functions and tensor fields are deformed. What we need for the later computations is only the first three terms (5.10) in the product formula of two symbols.

5.1. **Phase functions and local parallel-transport.** Let M be a smooth manifold with a connection ∇, we define a phase function $\ell(\xi_x, y) \in C^\infty(T^*M \times M)$, which plays the role of $\langle x-y, \xi\rangle$ in classical Fourier analysis on $\mathbb{R}^n$, via the following property:

(1) For each $x \in M$, $\ell(\cdot, x)$ is a linear function on fibers of T^*M.
(2) For each $\xi_x \in T^*_x M$,

$$\partial_y^k \ell(\xi_x, y)\Big|_{y=x} = \begin{cases}\xi_x, & k=1,\\ 0, & k\neq 1,\end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\partial_y^k := \mathrm{Sym}\circ\nabla^k$ is the symmetrized k-th covariant derivative with respect to y.

Such functions ℓ are not unique, can be constructed in local charts and pasted together by partition of unity, see [33]. Nevertheless, the covariant derivatives $\nabla_y^k \ell(\xi_x, y)$ at $y = x$ are totally determined via the property (5.1). In particular, one can treat $\ell(\xi_x, y)$ as $\langle \xi_x, \exp_x^{-1} y\rangle$ when only the covariant derivatives at $y = x$ are concerned. Such geometric interpretation was explained in [16] and [21].

Let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle equipped with a connection, still denoted by ∇. As before, we can associate a smooth function

$$\tau : E \times M \to M$$

to the connection with similar properties

(1) For each $x \in M$, $\tau(\cdot, x) : E \to E$ is a bundle mapping.
(2) When $s_x \in E_x$ is fixed with base point $x \in M$,

$$\partial_y^k \tau(s_x, y)\Big|_{y=x} = \begin{cases} s_x, & k = 0, \\ 0, & k \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

Again, ∂_y^k is the symmetrized covariant derivative in y.

Similar to the phase function ℓ, the function τ is not unique and can be constructed locally and pasted together, see [33]. However, the covariant derivatives at $y = x$ are uniquely determined by the equation (5.2). Moreover, locally, when x, y are closed enough, $\tau(s_x, y)$ is nothing but parallel-transport of s_x from E_x to E_y along the unique geodesic joining x and y.

To get better understanding of the notations, we state the covariant Taylor expansion for a smooth section $s(y) \in \Gamma(E)$ near by $x \in M$. Recall that $\ell(\xi, y)$ is linear in $\xi \in T^*M$, via the duality, $\ell(\cdot, y)$ can be treated as a vector field on M. With this interpretation,

$$\ell(\cdot, y)^k = \ell(\cdot, y) \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell(\cdot, y)$$

is a symmetric k-contravariant tensor field and for a smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$, the contraction $\nabla^k f \cdot \ell(x, y)^k$ plays the role of $f^{(k)}(x)(y-x)^k$ in the Taylor's theorem in calculus. If $f(y) \in \Gamma(E)$ is a section of a vector bundle E, we need a notion of "constant sections": $\tau(\nabla^j f(x), y)$, to replace $f^{(k)}(x)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Given a smooth section $f(y) \in \Gamma(E)$. Near a fixed point $x \in M$, and each integer N, the following difference*

$$f(y) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \frac{1}{j!} \tau(\nabla^j f(x), y) \cdot \ell(x, y)^j$$

vanishes to order $N + 1$ at $y = x$. Notice that $\nabla^j f(x) \in E_x \otimes_j T_x^*M$, the local parallel-transport $\tau(\nabla^j f(x), y) \in E_y \otimes_j T_x^*M$ acts only on the E-bundle part of $\nabla^j f(x)$, that is $\tau((\cdot)_x, y) : E_x \to E_y$, and leave the j-covariant tensor untouched.

5.2. **Vertical and Horizontal Differential.** Let $\pi : T^*M \to M$ be the natural projection and $\mathcal{T}M$ be the bundle of tensor fields of all rank over M. Denote by $\mathcal{B}M := \pi^*\mathcal{T}M$ the pull-back tensor bundle. From analytic point of view, the main difference between the usual tensor fields and the pull-back tensor fields (sections of $\mathcal{B}M$) is that the base point of the later one depends on both (x, ξ) in local coordinates.

Definition 5.1 (Horizontal differential)**.** Given a pull-back tensor fields $p(\xi_x) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{B}M)$ and an integer $j \geq 0$, the j-th vertical differential D^j is the usual differential along the

fibers T^*M which can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^n$. More precisely, $D^j p$ is a j-contravariant tensor, when contracting with $w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_j$, where $w_1, \ldots, w_j \in T^*_x M$,

$$\begin{aligned} & D^j p \cdot (w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_j)\Big|_{\xi_x} \\ := & \frac{d}{ds_1}\Big|_{s_1=0} \ldots \frac{d}{ds_j}\Big|_{s_j=0} p\left(\xi_x + s_1 w_1 + \cdots + s_j w_j\right). \end{aligned}$$

The generalization of the vertical differential in a coordinate free way is much less obvious. It involves both (x, ξ) in local coordinates.

Definition 5.2. Given a covector $\xi_x \in T^*_x M$, we extend it to a pull-back tensor field near by x via the phase function $d\ell(\xi_x, y)$ (since $d\ell(\xi_x, y)|_{y=x} = \xi_x$). Therefore for any pull-back tensor field $p \in \Gamma(\mathcal{B}M)$, $p(d\ell(\xi_x, y))$ is a tensor field in y supported near by x. We define the j-th horizontal differential to be

$$\nabla^j_y p(d\ell(\xi_x, y))\Big|_{y=x}.$$

The definition above extends quickly to the case in which $p \in \Gamma(\mathcal{B}M \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{E})$ where $\mathcal{E} \to M$ is a vector bundle with a connection. What we need later is that $\mathcal{E} = \operatorname{Hom}(E, F)$, with a induced connection from connections on E and F, where $E, F \to M$ are the domain and the range respectively of pseudo differential operators.

Example 5.1. As an example, we show that for the squared length function $|\xi|^2 \in C^\infty(T^*M)$ and the Levi-Civita connection, $\nabla |\xi|^2 = 0$. Indeed, set $p(\xi) = |\xi|^2$, we write $p(d\ell(\xi_x, y))$ in terms of tensor notation:

$$p(d\ell(\xi_x, y)) = (d\ell(\xi_x, y) \otimes d\ell(\xi_x, y)) \cdot g^{-1},$$

where g^{-1} is the metric tensor on T^*M. According to the Leibniz property and the fact that $\nabla g^{-1} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla p(d\ell(\xi_x, y)) = & (\nabla d\ell(\xi_x, y) \otimes d\ell(\xi_x, y)) \cdot g^{-1} \\ & + (d\ell(\xi_x, y) \otimes \nabla d\ell(\xi_x, y)) \cdot g^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ∇ is torsion free, $\nabla d\ell(\xi_x, y) = \nabla^2 \ell(\xi_x, y) = \partial^2 \ell(\xi_x, y)$, which becomes zero when evaluating at $y = x$. It is worth pointing out that $\nabla^2 |\xi|^2 \neq 0$, since $\nabla p(d\ell(\xi_x, y))$ above only vanishes at $y = x$.

5.3. **Symbol and Quantization maps.** Let us consider $\Psi(E, F)$, pseudo differential operators from bundle E to F. We fix a phase function ℓ and choose a cut-off function $\alpha(x, y) \in C^\infty(M \times M)$, which equal to 1 in a small neighborhood of the diagonal with the property that the support is so closed to the diagonal that whenever $\alpha(x, y) \neq 0$, $d\ell(\xi_x, y)$ is nonzero for all $\xi_x \neq 0$.

For a pseudo differential operator $P : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(F)$, its symbol σ_P belongs to

$$\Gamma(T^*M, \pi^* \operatorname{Hom}(E, F))$$

defined by the following formula: for $\xi_x \in T_x^*M$ and $s_x \in E_x$

$$\sigma_P(\xi_x)(s_x) := P_y\left(\alpha(x,y)\tau(s_x,y)e^{i\ell(\xi_x,y)}\right), \tag{5.3}$$

the subscript y indicates that P acts on the y variable.

For the quantization map Op from symbols to pseudo differential operators, the construction is not unique. The explicit formula of op will not be involved in the later computation, what we need is the property that Op and σ are inverse to each other modulo smoothing operators (symbols).

For instance, we can quantize the symbols $p(\xi_x) : T^*M \to \mathrm{End}(E)$ in a geometric way: for any section $f \in \Gamma(E)$, near by a point $x \in M$, we lift it to a function on T_xM: $f_x : T_xM \to E$ with $f_x(Y) = \alpha(x,y)\tau(f(y),x)$, where $y = \exp_x Y$ and $\alpha(x,y)$ is the cut-off function defined before.

$$\mathrm{Op}(p)f(x) = (2\pi)^{-\dim M}\int_{T_xM\times T_x^*M} e^{-i\langle\xi_x,Y\rangle}p(\xi_x)f_x(Y)dYd\xi_x.$$

Different choices of the cut-off function $\alpha(x,y)$ give rise to different quantization maps but they all agree with each other modulo smoothing operators.

5.4. **Trace formula of symbols.** The symbol calculus make sense only for the complete symbols, namely everything is up to a smoothing operator. Therefore there must exit some errors when we try to compute the trace of the operator via its symbol. To measure the errors, we introduce a parameter $t \in [1,\infty)$, for a pseudo differential operator P with symbol $p(x,\xi)$, P_t denotes the family of operators with symbol $p_t(x,\xi) := p(x,t\xi)$.

Let (M,g) be a m dimensional closed Riemannian manifold. The canonical $2m$-form on the cotangent bundle T^*M is given in local coordinates (x,ξ) by

$$\Omega = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m \wedge d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_m, \tag{5.4}$$

while $\Omega = dgd\xi_{x,g^{-1}}$ with the volume form $dg = (\det g)dx_1 \wedge \cdots dx_m$ and

$$d\xi_{x,g^{-1}} = (\det g)^{-1}d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_m \tag{5.5}$$

defines a measure on the fiber T_x^*M.

Proposition 5.2. *Keep the notations as above, let P be pseudo differential operator acting on spinor sections $\Gamma(\not{S})$ with order less than m, the dimension of the manifolds such that the Schwartz kernel function $k_P(x,y)$ exists, then the Schwartz kernel of the dilation P_t on the diagonal is given by*

$$k_{P_t}(x,x) = \frac{t^m}{(2\pi)^m}\int_{T_x^*M}\sigma(P)d\xi_{g^{-1}} + O(t^{-N}),\ \ \forall N \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5.6}$$

In particular, we obtain the trace formula for P_t:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(P_t) = \frac{t^m}{(2\pi)^m}\int_{T^*M}\mathrm{Tr}_x\,\sigma(P)\Omega + O(t^{-N}),\ \ \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{5.7}$$

where Tr_x *is the fiberwise trace and* $\Omega = dgd\xi_{x,g^{-1}}$ *is the canonical* $2m$*-form defined in* (5.4).

See [32, Theorem 5.7] for the proof.

5.5. **Product formula for symbols.**

Proposition 5.3. *Let* $P, Q : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ *be two pseudo differential operators. Then the symbol of* PQ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{PQ} = \sigma_P \star \sigma_Q \backsim \sum \frac{i^{k-\bar{p}-\sum_0^k (m_i+p_i)}}{k! m_0! \cdots m_k! p_0! \cdots p_k! \bar{p}!} \\ \times (D^{\bar{p}+\sum_0^k p_i} \sigma_P)(\nabla^{\bar{p}} D^{\sum_0^k m_i} \sigma_Q) \nabla^{p_0+m_0}\tau \nabla^{p_1+m_1}\ell \ldots \nabla^{p_k+m_k}\ell,\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where the sum runs over all

$$k \geq 0, \;\; m_1, \ldots, m_k \geq 2, \;\; \bar{p}, p_0, \ldots, p_k \geq 0.$$

Some words about the notations.

Remark.

(1) In (5.8), the contraction of contravariant and covariant tensors produces a scalar function. At each point, $\sigma_P \sigma_Q \tau$ are compositions of endomorphisms on the bundle E. Therefore the summands in (5.8) are $\mathrm{End}(E)$-valued functions on T^*M as it should be.

(2) The contravariant tensors $\nabla^{p_0+m_0}\tau$, $\nabla^{p_1+m_1}\ell$, …, are not symmetric, therefore the order of the summation $p_j + m_j$ matters and indicates that the m indices act first. For example, take $\sigma_P = \sigma_Q = \sigma_{\not D}$ are both equal to the symbol of a Dirac operator, consider a term $D\sigma_{\not D} D\sigma_{\not D} \nabla^2 \tau$, then the contraction should be given by

$$\sum_{j,k} (D\sigma_{\not D})_k (D\sigma_{\not D})_j (\nabla^2 \tau)_{jk},$$

where the j index acts first on τ.

Proposition 5.4. *After excluding the zero terms, the symbol product* (5.8) *is summed over*

$$\begin{aligned}&k \geq 0, \; \bar{p} \geq 0, \;\; p_0 \geq 0, \;\; m_0 \geq 0, \;\; p_1, \ldots, p_k \geq 1, \;\; m_1, \ldots, m_k \geq 2 \\ &m_0 + p_0 \neq 1.\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Furthermore, the symbol product can be grouped in the following way:

$$\sigma_P \star \sigma_Q \backsim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(\sigma_P, \sigma_Q),$$

where each a_j *is a bi-differential operator that reduces the total degree by* j*:*

$$a_j(\cdot,\cdot) : S\Sigma^d \times S\Sigma^{d'} \to S\Sigma^{d'+d-j}, \;\; \forall d, d' \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In fact, a_j consists of terms in (5.8) *whose power of the complex number i is equal to j, namely, a_j is obtained by summing over all the indices such that*

$$j = \sum_0^k (m_l + p_l) + \bar{p} - k.$$

First few a_j are:

$$\begin{aligned} &a_0(p,q) = pq, \\ &a_1(p,q) = -i(Dp)(\nabla q), \\ &a_2(p,q) = -\left(\frac{1}{2}(D^2p)(\nabla^2 q) + (Dp)(Dq)(\nabla^2\tau) + \frac{1}{2}(Dp)(D^2q)(\nabla^3\ell)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Remark.

(1) The deformed symbol calculus is simply replace the internal operations by their θ-version.
(2) Let $|\xi|^2 \in C^\infty(T^*M)$ be the squared length function. Since the connection is Levi-Civita, the tensor fields $\nabla^j |\xi|^2$ with $j = 0, 1, 2$, $(\nabla^2\tau)$ and $(\nabla^3\ell)$ are all invariant under isometries, in particular, they are $\mathbb{T}^n$-invariant. They will be the central elements in the later computation.

5.6. **Symbols of $\not{D}$ and $\not{D}^2$.** Let $\not{S}$ be the spinor bundle. For any $\xi_x \in T^*M$, $\mathbf{c}(\xi_x)$ stands for the Clifford multiplication.

Proposition 5.5. *The symbol of the Dirac operator $\not{D}$ is the tautological section of the Clifford multiplication in $\Gamma(T^*M, \mathrm{End}(\not{S}))$ upto a factor $\sqrt{-1}$, namely,*

$$\sigma_{\not{D}}(\xi_x) = i\mathbf{c}(\xi_x). \tag{5.11}$$

Proof. Since $\not{D}$ is a differential operator, we can ignore the cut-off function α in (5.3). In a orthonormal coordinate system with a basis $\{e_j\}$, for any section $s \in \Gamma(\not{S})$, the evaluation of $\sigma_{\not{D}}(\xi_x)(s)$ at $x \in M$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sigma_{\not{D}}(\xi_x)(s)\right)(x) &= \not{D}\left(e^{i\ell(\xi_x,y)}c(s_x,y)\right)\Big|_{y=x} = \sum_j (e_j)\nabla_j\left(e^{i\ell(\xi_x,y)}c(s_x,y)\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_j i\nabla_j\ell(\xi_x,y)e^{i\ell(\xi_x,y)}\mathbf{c}(e_j)\tau(s_x,y) + e^{i\ell(\xi_x,y)}\nabla_j\tau(s_x,y)\right)\Big|_{y=x} \\ &= i\mathbf{c}(\xi_x)(s|_x). \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we have used the fact that at $y = x$:

$$\nabla_j\ell(\xi_x,y) = (\xi_x)_j, \;\; \tau(s_x,y) = s_x, \;\; \nabla_j\tau(s_x,y) = 0.$$

□

We now calculate the symbol of $\not{D}^2$ in two ways. First, use the symbol calculus in (5.10). Since $\sigma_{\not{D}}(\xi)$ is linear in ξ, $D^j\sigma_{\not{D}} = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$, in particular, $a_j(\sigma_{\not{D}}, \sigma_{\not{D}}) = 0$ for $j > 2$. Therefore

$$\sigma_{\not{D}^2} = \sigma_{\not{D}} \star \sigma_{\not{D}} = \sum_0^2 a_j(\sigma_{\not{D}}, \sigma_{\not{D}}).$$

Start with a_0:

$$a_0(\sigma_{\not{D}}, \sigma_{\not{D}})(\xi_x) = \sigma_{\not{D}}(\xi_x)\sigma_{\not{D}}(\xi_x) = (i)^2\mathbf{c}(\xi_x)\mathbf{c}(\xi_x) = |\xi|^2 I,$$

here we have used the Clifford relation $\mathbf{c}(\xi)^2 = -\langle \xi, \xi \rangle$. For a Clifford connection ∇ on $\mathcal{S}$ (cf. [1, Def. 3.39]), we alway have

$$\nabla_X(\mathbf{c}(w)(s)) = \mathbf{c}(\nabla_X w)(s) + \mathbf{c}(w)(\nabla_X s),$$

where $s \in \Gamma(\not{S})$ is a spinor section, X is a vector field and w is differential form so that $\mathbf{c}(w)$ becomes a Clifford algebra section. In particular, $\nabla(\mathbf{c}(w)) = \mathbf{c}(\nabla w)$. We are ready to compute the first horizontal differential of $\sigma_{\not{D}}$:

$$\nabla\sigma_{\not{D}}(\xi_x) = \nabla\left(\sigma_{\not{D}}(d\ell(\xi_x, y)\right)\Big|_{y=x} = \nabla\left(\mathbf{c}(d\ell(\xi_x, y))\right)\Big|_{y=x} = \mathbf{c}\left(\nabla d\ell(\xi_x, y)\right)\Big|_{y=x},$$

when evaluating at $y = x$, $\nabla d\ell(\xi_x, x) = \nabla^2\ell(\xi_x, x) = \partial^2\ell(\xi_x, x) = 0$, here we have used the torsion free property of ∇, so that $\nabla^2\ell$ is symmetric. We have proved that $\nabla\sigma_{\not{D}} = 0$, thus $a_1(\sigma_{\not{D}}, \sigma_{\not{D}}) = 0$ as well.

In a_2, the only non-zero term is $-(D\sigma_{\not{D}})(D\sigma_{\not{D}})(\nabla^2\tau)$. We first compute $\nabla^2\tau$ in local coordinates. From the definition of curvature tensor, we have when y is in a small neighborhood of x:

$$(\nabla^2)_{ij}\tau(s_x, y) - (\nabla^2)_{ji}\tau(s_x, y) = K_{ji}(\tau(s_x, y)),$$

at $y = x$, by definition we get $\partial^2\tau(s_x, x) = 0$, that is $\nabla^2\tau$ is anti-symmetric: $(\nabla^2)_{ij}\tau(s_x, x) = -(\nabla^2)_{ji}\tau(s_x, x)$. Therefore:

$$2(\nabla^2)_{ij}\tau(s_x, x) = K_{ji}(s_x).$$

Let $\{e_j\}$ be a orthonormal frame at T^*_xM, denote $\mathbf{c}^k = \mathbf{c}(e_k)$,

$$\begin{aligned}(D\sigma_{\not{D}})(D\sigma_{\not{D}})(\nabla^2\tau) &= \sum_{j,k}(D\sigma_{\not{D}})_k(D\sigma_{\not{D}})_j(\nabla^2\tau)_{jk} \\ &= (i)^2\sum_{k,j}\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}^k\mathbf{c}^j K(e_k, e_j).\end{aligned}$$

On the spinor bundle $\not{S}$, the Clifford contraction of the curvature two form K:

$$\sum_{k,j}\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}^k\mathbf{c}^j K(e_k, e_j)$$

has only the Riemannian part which is equal to $\frac{1}{4}S_\Delta$, where S_Δ is the scalar curvature function. The calculation can be found, for instance, in [30, Prop. 3.18]. Thus

$$(D\sigma_{\not D})(D\sigma_{\not D})(\nabla^2\tau) = -\frac{1}{4}S_\Delta,$$

which is equal to $-a_2(\sigma_{\not D}, \sigma_{\not D})$.

Sum up the calculation above,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\not D^2}(\xi_x) &= a_0(\sigma_{\not D}, \sigma_{\not D}) + a_2(\sigma_{\not D}, \sigma_{\not D}) \\ &= (|\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{4}S_\Delta)I.\end{aligned}$$

For the second method, we make use of the Lichnerowicz formula (cf. [1, Thm 3.52]),

$$\not D^2 = \Delta + \frac{1}{4}S_\Delta,$$

where Δ is the connection Laplacian. Similar computation as in example (5.1) shows that the symbol of the connection Laplacian $\sigma_\Delta = |\xi|^2$. Therefore we see again, that the symbol of $\not D^2$ equals

$$\sigma_\Delta + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_{S_\Delta} = |\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{4}S_\Delta.$$

6. Symbolic Computation

In the previous work [28], the resolvent approximation and tensor computation were discussed in great detail. Our main goal of this section is the unfinished job in [28]: to give a detailed exploration of the dependence of local curvature functions on the dimension.

We start with the Laplacian type operator in (3.14):

$$\Delta_k = k^{1/2}(\not D_k)^2 k^{-1/2} = k^2\not D^2 + k\mathbf{c}(\nabla k)\not D, \;\; k = e^h.$$

Recall that the symbol of $\not D$ and $\not D^2$ are given by:

$$\sigma(\not D)(\xi) = -i\mathbf{c}(\xi), \quad \sigma(\not D^2)(\xi) = \left(|\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{4}S_\Delta\right)\cdot I, \;\; \xi \in T^*M.$$

Let λ be the resolvent parameter, the symbol of $\Delta_k - \lambda$ is of the form $\sigma(\Delta_k - \lambda) = p_2(\xi, \lambda) + p_1(\xi) + p_0(\xi)$, with

$$\begin{aligned}p_2(\xi, \lambda) &= (k^2|\xi|^2 - \lambda)I, \\ p_1(\xi) &= -ik(\nabla k)(D\sigma_{\not D})\sigma_{\not D}, \\ p_0(\xi) &= (\frac{1}{4}k^2 S_\Delta)I.\end{aligned}$$

Recall the standard resolvent approximation procedure (see for instance, Gilkey [23]). We would like to construct a sequence of symbols $\{b_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ in which b_j is of degree $-j-2$ by solving the equation

$$(b_0 + b_1 + b_2 + \cdots) \star (p_2 + p_1 + p_0) \backsim 1$$

inductively. The first approximation $b_0 = p_2^{-1}(\xi,\lambda) = ((k^2|\xi|^2 - \lambda))^{-1}$ is the inverse of the leading symbol p_2 in the deformed algebra of complete symbols[1] $S\Sigma(M_\theta)$. The rest of b_j are given by a recurrence relation, in particular

$$b_1 = (b_0 p_1 + a_1(b_0, p_2))\,(-b_0). \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 = &[a_0(b_0,p_0) + a_0(b_1,p_1) + a_1(b_0,p_1) \\ &+ a_1(b_1,p_2) + a_2(b_0,p_2)]\,(-b_0). \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

We start with (6.1):

$$b_1 = -ib_0^2 k^2 (\nabla k^2) b_0 (D|\xi|^2)\,|\xi|^2 + ib_0 k(\nabla k) b_0 (D\sigma_{\not D})\sigma_{\not D}, \tag{6.3}$$

since $\nabla k^2 = (\nabla k)k + k(\nabla k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 = &ikb_0(\nabla k)b_0(D\sigma_{\not D})\sigma_{\not D} - ik^3 b_0^2(\nabla k)b_0\left(D\xi^2\right)\xi^2 \\ &- ik^2 b_0^2(\nabla k)kb_0\xi^2(D\xi^2). \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

The scalar curvature R_{Δ_k} in (3.18) is obtained by the following integration against the next term $b_2(\xi,\lambda)$ (cf. [28], Theorem 6.2):

$$R_{\Delta_k}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m}\int_{T_x^*M}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C e^{-\lambda} b_2(\xi,\lambda)d\lambda dg_x^{-1},\ m = \dim M, \tag{6.5}$$

where C is a contour that defines the heat operator and dg_x^{-1} is the measure associated to the Riemannian metric $g^{-1}|_x$ at T_x^*M, in a local chart (x,ξ), $dg^{-1} = \det(g^{-1})d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_m$. The trace formula in Proposition 5.2 plays a crucial role in the proof of the result.

The computation for b_2 can be carried out in similar way and was explained in [28], Section 7 in great detail. In this paper, we leave the tedious calculation to Mathematica[2]. We collect the final results as screenshots and are put them in appendix C. The list of complete terms of b_2 contains approximately 30 terms (see the left column of the screenshots in appendix C), which is divided to several parts according to the summands appeared in (6.2).

6.1. **The contour integral and integration over the cosphere bundle.** On each fiber T_x^*M, we compute the integral using spherical coordinates:

$$\int_{T_x^*M} b_2(\xi,\lambda)d\xi = \int_0^\infty b_2(r,\lambda)(r^{m-1})dr,\ \ m = \dim M,$$

with

$$b_2(r,\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} b_2(\xi,\lambda)d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}},\ \ r = |\xi|, \tag{6.6}$$

[1]Complete symbols simply means modular smoothing symbols.

[2]The calculation can be handled completely by hands. Nevertheless the assistant of a computer algebra system (CAS) helps to eliminate potential algebraic mistakes and has great significance for deeper exploration in this direction.

$b_2(\xi,\lambda)$	$b_2(r,\lambda)$	$b_2(\xi,\lambda)$	$b_2(r,\lambda)$
$D^2\left\|\xi\right\|^2\nabla^2(c)$	0	$D^2\left\|\xi\right\|^2\nabla^2\left\|\xi\right\|^2$	$(4/(3m))S_\Delta\left\|\xi\right\|^2$
$(D\left\|\xi\right\|)^2\nabla\left\|\xi\right\|^2$	0	$(D\left\|\xi\right\|)(D^2\left\|\xi\right\|^2)(\nabla^3\ell)$	$(-8/(3m))S_\Delta\left\|\xi\right\|^2$
$(D\sigma_{\not D})^2$	1	$(D\left\|\xi\right\|^2)(D\sigma_{\not D})\sigma_{\not D}$	$(2/m)\left\|\xi\right\|^2$
$D^2\left\|\xi\right\|^2$	2	$((D\sigma_{\not D})\sigma_{\not D})^2$	$(2-m)\left\|\xi\right\|^2/m$

TABLE 3. Integration over the unit cosphere bundle. The result $b_2(r,\lambda)$ appeared in the right columns (cf. Eq. (6.6)) is upto a scalar factor $\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})$. Here S_Δ is the scalar curvature function and $m=\dim M$.

where $d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}}$ is the volume form of the unit sphere defined by the original Riemannian metric g^{-1}. The result of $b_2(r,\lambda)$, upto an overall constant $\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})$, $m=\dim M$, is listed in Table (3). For terms involving the spinor bundle, the computation can be found in Appendix B. For the rest, see [28], Appendix C.

Sum up, all the terms of $b_2(\xi,\lambda)$ are listed in the left columns from Fig. (1) to Fig. (7) while the middle columns consists of terms from $b_2(r,\lambda)$. The middle columns are obtained by applying the substitutions defined in Table (3).

As a function on the cotangent bundle, $b_2(\xi,\lambda)$ has the following homogeneity property:

$$b_2(c\xi,c^2\lambda)=c^{-4}b_2(\xi,\lambda),\ \ \forall c>0. \tag{6.7}$$

Therefore integrating $b_2(\xi,\lambda)$ in ξ makes sense only when $\dim M<4$. As a consequence, after switching the order of integration in (6.5) by an integration by parts argument, the contour integral in λ can be achieved by evaluating at $\lambda=-1$. We recall Lemma 7.2 in [28].

Lemma 6.1. *Keep the notations. Let $m=\dim M$ and $j_0=(m-2)/2$, which is the smallest integer so that the homogeneity (defined in (6.7)) of $\frac{d^{j_0}}{d\lambda^{j_0}}b_2(r,\lambda)$ is strictly less than $-m$. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C e^{-\lambda}(\int_0^\infty b_2(r,\lambda)r^{m-1}dr)d\lambda=\int_0^\infty \frac{d_0^j}{d\lambda_0^j}\Big|_{\lambda=-1}b_2(r,\lambda)r^{m-1}dr. \tag{6.8}$$

6.2. **Rearrangement lemma.** After integrating over the cosphere bundle, the b_2 term consists of two types of summands:

$$k^2f_0(rk^2)\rho f_1(rk^2)\text{ or }k^2f_0(rk^2)\rho_1f_1(rk^2)\rho_2f_2(rk^2) \tag{6.9}$$

where $r\in[0,\infty)$, k is the conformal factor and f_j are smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and ρ_j in between are tensor fields over M on which $C^\infty(M_\theta)$ acts from both sides. Recall the modular operator

$$\Delta\!\!\!\!\!\!\Delta(\rho)=k^{-2}\rho k^2,$$

then the rearrangement lemma states that:

$$\int_0^\infty k^2 f_0(rk^2)\rho f_1(rk^2)dr = K(\not\Delta)(\rho),$$
$$\int_0^\infty k^2 f_0(rk^2)\rho_1 f_1(rk^2)\rho_2 f_2(rk^2) = H(\not\Delta_{(1)}, \not\Delta_{(2)})(\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2),$$

the subscript $j = 1, 2$ in $\not\Delta_{(j)}$ indicates that $\not\Delta$ acts on the j-th factor of the product $\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2$. The functions K and H are given by

$$\begin{aligned} K(s) &= \int_0^\infty f_0(r)f_1(sr)dr,\ s > 0, \\ H(s,t) &= \int_0^\infty f_0(r)f_1(sr)f_2(str)dr,\ s,t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

For the proof of the lemma, see [26] (which has consistent notations used in the paper), also [15] and [14].

Later, we will need the explicit family of functions given below. Let $m = \dim M \geq 4$ be even, denote:

$$c_{(p,m)} = (p - 2 + (m-2)/2)!/(p-1)!, \tag{6.11}$$

$$K_{(p,q,m)}(s) = \frac{d^{m/2-2}}{du^{m/2-2}}\Big|_{u=0}(1-u)^{-p}(s-u)^{-q}, \tag{6.12}$$

$$H_{(p,q,l,m)}(s,t) = \frac{d^{m/2-2}}{du^{m/2-2}}\Big|_{u=0}(1-u)^{-p}(s-u)^{-q}(st-u)^{-l}, \tag{6.13}$$

where $s, t \geq 0$ and $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We remark that the constant $c_{(p,m)}$ comes from the degenerate situation of $K_{(p,q,m)}$ (or $H_{(p,q,l,m)}$) by setting $s = 1$ (or $s = t = 1$). More precisely, $c_{(p,m)} = K_{(\alpha,\beta,m)}(1) = H_{(\alpha',\beta',\gamma',m)}(1,1)$, where $p = \alpha + \beta = \alpha' + \beta' + \gamma'$.

6.3. **Computation for the local curvature functions.** In this section, we will explain using specific examples how to obtain the right column of table (4) from the left.

Proposition 6.2. *Keep the notations. All terms of $b_2(r,\lambda)$ belong to one of the types appeared in the left column of table* (4)*, while the right column is the final contribution from that term to the modular curvature in* (3.18)*.*

Types	Modular curvature functions
$\lvert\xi\rvert^{\nu_1} k^{\mu_1} b_0^p(\nabla^2 k) b_0^q$	$k^{1-m} K_{(p,q,m)}(\not\Delta)(\nabla^2 k)$
$\lvert\xi\rvert^{\nu_2} k^{\mu_2} b_0^p(\nabla k) b_0^q(\nabla k) b_0^l$	$k^{-m} H_{(p,q,l,m)}(\not\Delta, \not\Delta)(\nabla k \nabla k)$
$\lvert\xi\rvert^{\tilde{\nu}_2} k^{\tilde{\mu}_2} b_0^p(\nabla k)^2 b_0^q$	$k^{-m} K_{(p,q,m)}(\not\Delta)(\nabla k \nabla k)$
$\lvert\xi\rvert^{\nu_0} k^{\mu_0} b_0^p S_\Delta$	$k^{2-m} c_{(p,m)} S_\Delta$

TABLE 4. Types of terms in $b_2(r,\lambda)$ and the resulting modular curvature function, there is an overall factor $1/2$ which is suppressed.Functions appeared in the right column are defined in (6.11), (6.12) and (6.13).

We will discuss this via examples. In the left column of table (4), the exponents of $r = |\xi|$, k and b_0 are subjected to some relations due to the homogeneity of b_2. Namely, b_2 is of degree -4 in r while $b_0 = (k^2r^2 - \lambda)^{-1}$ is of degree -2, therefore we have

$$\nu_1 = \tilde{\nu}_2 = 2(p+q) - 4, \;\; \nu_0 = 2p - 4 \;\; \nu_2 = 2(p+q+l) - 4.$$

Also the subscript of ν and μ stands for the number of arguments of the modular curvature function, for instance, in the first row, the modular curvature function is of one-variable, thus we use ν_1 and μ_1. The exponent of k depends on ν:

$$\tilde{\mu}_2 = \nu_2, \;\; \mu_j = \nu_j + 2 - j, \; j = 0, 1, 2,$$

so that one will obtain the correct power of k appeared in the right column. A conceptual reason for those exponents is that once we rewrite the modular scalar curvature in terms of $\log k$, the power of k in front of the modular functions will become the same, which is equal to $(2 - m)$.

We shall use the second row in table 4 as an example to show how to achieve the left column. Recall the notations: $r = |\xi|$, $m = \dim M$, $j_0 = m/2 - 1$, $b_0 = (k^2r^2 - \lambda)^{-1}$ and we need to compute

$$\int_0^\infty (\frac{d}{d\lambda})^{j_0}\Big|_{\lambda=-1} b_2(r, \lambda) r^{m-1} dr.$$

For the explicit term in second row of the table, the integral above becomes with respect to the substitution $r^2 \mapsto r$:

$$k^{\mu_2} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^{j_0}\Big|_{\lambda=-1} (k^2r - \lambda)^{-p} (\nabla k)(k^2r - \lambda)^{-q} (\nabla k)(k^2r - \lambda)^{-l} r^{\nu_2/2} r^{(m-2)/2} (dr/2).$$

To apply the rearrangement lemma, we match each r with a k^2:

$$k^{\beta} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^{j_0}\Big|_{\lambda=-1} b_0^p (\nabla k) b_0^q (\nabla k) b_0^l (k^2r)^{\nu_2/2} (k^2r)^{(m-2)/2} (dk^2r/2),$$

where $b_0 = (k^2r - \lambda)^{-1}$ and

$$\beta = \mu_2 - \nu_2 + (2 - m) - 2 = -m.$$

Therefore we have explained the appearance of the factor k^{-m} in the second row of table 4. To see the modular curvature function $H_{(p,q,l,m)}$, the rearrangement lemma tells us that (with a substitution $x = k^2r$ in mind) the integral is equal to

$$k^{-m} H(\not{\Delta}_{(1)}, \not{\Delta}_{(2)})(\nabla k \nabla k),$$

with the function $H(s, t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & H(s,t) \\ = & \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^{j_0}\Big|_{\lambda=-1} (x - \lambda)^{-p} (sx - \lambda)^{-q} (stx - \lambda)^{-q} x^{\nu_2/2} x^{(m-2)/2} (dx/2). \end{aligned}$$

To continue the computation, set $u = 1/x$:

$$\begin{aligned}
H(s,t) &= \int_0^\infty u^{-j_0} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^{j_0} \Big|_{\lambda=-1} (1-u\lambda)^{-p}(s-u\lambda)^{-q}(st-u\lambda)^{-l}(du/2) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{j_0} \Big|_{z=-u} (1-z)^{-p}(s-z)^{-q}(st-z)^{-l}(du/2) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\frac{d}{du}\right)^{j_0} (1-u)^{-p}(s-u)^{-q}(st-u)^{-l}(du/2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d}{du}\right)^{j_0-1} \Big|_{u=0} (1-u)^{-p}(s-u)^{-q}(st-u)^{-l} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} H_{(p,q,l,m)}(s,t).
\end{aligned}$$

A few words about the calculation above: since $m \geq 4$, $j_0 - 1 \geq 0$, also in our later computation, the integers p, q, l are all positive, in particular the function in the last line vanishes at $u = -\infty$. The coefficient $1/2$ is ignored in table 4 since it appears for all terms thus can be moved to the overall factor.

The first and third row of table 4 can be justified by in a similar way. The last row is special since it is reduced to the commutative case. First, we can repeat the argument above by splitting $q = \alpha + \beta$, since S_Δ commutes with b_0, we can rewrite $|\xi|^{\nu_0} k^{\mu_0} b_0^p S_\Delta$ as $|\xi|^{\nu_0} k^{\mu_0} b_0^\alpha S_\Delta b_0^\beta$. Repeat the calculation, we obtain:

$$|\xi|^{\nu_0} k^{\mu_0} b_0^\alpha S_\Delta b_0^\beta \mapsto k^{2-m} K_{(\alpha,\beta,m)}(\not{\Delta})(S_\Delta).$$

Notice that the modular operator acts trivially (as identity) on S_Δ, so $K_{(\alpha,\beta,m)}(\not{\Delta})(S_\Delta) = K_{(\alpha,\beta,m)}(1) S_\Delta$, while

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{(\alpha,\beta,m)}(1) &= \left(\left(\frac{d}{du}\right)^{m/2-2} (1-u)^{-\alpha}(s-u)^{-\beta}\right) \Big|_{u=0,s=1} \\
&= \left(\left(\frac{d}{du}\right)^{m/2-2} (1-u)^{-\alpha-\beta}\right) \Big|_{u=0} \\
&= \frac{1}{(p-1)!}\left(\frac{d}{du}\right)^{p-1+m/2-2} \Big|_{u=0} (1-u)^{-1} \\
&= \frac{(p-2+(m-2)/2)!}{(p-1)!}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we recall the approach used in the commutative situation. Start with the contour integral, since

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C e^{-\lambda}b_0^p d\lambda &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C e^{-\lambda}\frac{1}{(p-1)!}\frac{d^{p-1}}{d\lambda^{p-1}}b_0 d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{(p-1)!}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C e^{-\lambda}b_0 d\lambda = \frac{1}{(p-1)!}e^{-k^2r^2}.\end{aligned}$$

We continue

$$\begin{aligned}&k^{\mu_0}\int_0^\infty r^{\nu_0}e^{-k^2r^2}r^{m-1}dr \\ &= k^{\mu_0}(k^2)^{-\nu_0/2}k^{2-m}k^{-2}\int_0^\infty (k^2r)^{\nu_0/2}e^{-k^2r}(k^2r)^{(m-2)/2}(dk^2r/2) \\ &= k^{2-m}\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\nu_0/2+(m-2)/2+1).\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the relation $\mu_0 = \nu_0+2$. Note that $\nu_0 = 2p-4$, $\Gamma(\nu_0/2+(m-2)/2+1) = (p-2+(m-2)/2)!$, therefore by ignoring the overall constant $1/2$, we have confirmed again, $c_{p,m}$ equals $(p-2+(m-2)/2)!/(p-1)!$.

We now furthermore show that $c_{\Delta_k}^{(m)}$ the coefficient of the scalar curvature term agrees with (1.2). Indeed, we first compute the constant shown in Figure 11:

$$c_{\Delta_k}^{(m)} = -\frac{1}{4}c_{(2,m)} + \frac{2c_{(3,m)}}{3m} = (-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2\cdot 3})(m/2-1)! = -\frac{1}{12}\Gamma(m/2). \tag{6.14}$$

On the other hand, we have an overall factor:

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^m}\cdot\frac{1}{2}\cdot \mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}),\ \text{with}\ \mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}) = \frac{2\pi^{m/2}}{\Gamma(m/2)}.$$

Finally, the coefficient for the scalar curvature term is equal to

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^m}\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{4}c_{(2,m)}+\frac{2c_{(3,m)}}{3m}\right) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{m/2}}(-\frac{1}{12}). \tag{6.15}$$

Let us summarize the discussion above as a proposition.

Proposition 6.3. *Keep the notations. Let* $K_{\Delta_k}^{(\dim M)}(s)$ *and* $H_{\Delta_k}^{(\dim M)}(s,t)$ *be the local curvature functions appeared in* (3.18). *The function* $K_{\Delta_k}^{(\dim M)}(s)$ *is given in* Fig. (10) *and* $H_{\Delta_k}^{(\dim M)}(s,t)$ *has two parts, listed in* Fig. (8) *and* Fig. (9).

To end this section, we write down explicitly the modular curvature functions $K^{(\dim M)}_{\Delta_k}(s)$ in dimension $4, 6$ and 8.

$$K^{(4)}_{\Delta_k}(s) = -1/(2s)$$
$$K^{(6)}_{\Delta_k}(s) = -\frac{2s+\sqrt{s}+2}{3s^2}$$
$$K^{(8)}_{\Delta_k}(s) = -\frac{2s^{3/2}+3s^2+4s+2\sqrt{s}+3}{2s^3}$$

While for the two variable function, $H^{(\dim M)}_{\Delta_k}(s,t)$

$$H^4_{\Delta_k}(s,t) = \frac{1}{s^{3/2}t},$$
$$H^6_{\Delta_k}(s,t) = \frac{(4s+\sqrt{s}+3)\,t+(2\sqrt{s}+1)\,\sqrt{t}+4}{3s^{5/2}t^2},$$

and

$$H^8_{\Delta_k}(s,t) = \frac{\left(4s^{3/2}+3s+2\sqrt{s}+1\right)t^{3/2}+\left(2s^{3/2}+6s^2+5s+\sqrt{s}+4\right)t^2+(8s+3\sqrt{s}+5)t+(4\sqrt{s}+2)\sqrt{t}+6}{2s^{7/2}t^3}.$$

Appendix A. Covariant derivatives of e^h after deformation

The main goal of this section is to show that the results in [14, sec. 6.1] for noncommutative two tori survives in our deformed tensor calculus setting. To be precise, let $h = \log k$ or $k = e^h$ in the deformed algebra $C^\infty(M_\theta)$ with $h = h^*$. Recall the modular operator $\Delta(x) = k^{-2}xk^2$ and its logarithm $\nabla = -2[h, \cdot]$. We would like to prove the following identities:

$$\nabla k = k f_1(\nabla)(\nabla h), \tag{A.1}$$
$$\Delta k = k\left[f_1(\nabla)(\Delta h) + 2g_2(\nabla_{(1)}, \nabla_{(2)})(\nabla h \nabla h)g^{-1}\right]. \tag{A.2}$$

where the functions f_1 and g_2 are given in (3.22) and (3.23) respectively. Consider the family of automorphism $\alpha_t(x) = e^{it\not{D}}xe^{-it\not{D}}$, $t \in [, \infty)$, whose infinitesimal version is denoted by $\delta(x) = i[\not{D}, x]$, namely

$$\delta(x) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\alpha_t(x).$$

From [14, sec. 6.1] or [25, sec. 3], we know that:

$$\delta k = k f_1(\nabla)(\delta h), \tag{A.3}$$
$$\delta^2 k = k\left[f_1(\nabla)(\delta^2 h) + 2g_2(\nabla_{(1)}, \nabla_{(2)})(\delta h \delta h)\right]. \tag{A.4}$$

Since the one-form ∇k can be identified with an operator via Clifford multiplication $\mathbf{c}(\nabla k) = [\not{D}, k]$, equation (A.3) implies (A.1).

To prove (A.2), we need the following fact (cf. [1, Prop. 3.45]): that for any smooth function f, let $X_f = \operatorname{grad} f$, we have

$$[\not{D}, \mathbf{c}(df)] = [\not{D}, [\not{D}, f]] = -2\nabla^{\$}_{X_f} + \Delta f,$$

where $\nabla^{\$}$ is the connection on the spinor bundle. Similar to (A.1), we have $\operatorname{grad} k = kF(\not{\nabla})(\operatorname{grad} h)$ and $\nabla^{\$}_{X_k} = kF(\not{\nabla})(\nabla^{\$}_{X_h})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta k &= [\not{D}, [\not{D}, k]] + 2\nabla^{\$}_{X_k} = \delta^2(k) + 2\nabla^{\$}_{X_k} \\
&= k\left[F(\not{\nabla})(\delta^2 h) + 2G(\not{\nabla}_{(1)}, \not{\nabla}_{(2)})(\delta h \delta h)\right] + 2kF(\not{\nabla})(\nabla^{\$}_{X_h}) \\
&= kF(\not{\nabla})(\delta^2 h + 2\nabla^{\$}_{X_h}) + 2kG(\not{\nabla}_{(1)}, \not{\nabla}_{(2)})(\delta h \delta h) \\
&= kF(\not{\nabla})(\Delta h) + 2kG(\not{\nabla}_{(1)}, \not{\nabla}_{(2)})(\nabla h \nabla h) g^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix B. Integration over the cosphere bundle S^*M

Lemma B.1. *Let $m = \dim M$, g^{-1} be the metric on T^*M, S^*M is the associated cosphere bundle. In a local coordinate (x, ξ), We identify the fiber at x $S^*_x M \cong S^{m-1} \subset T^*_x M \cong \mathbb{R}^m$ with metric $(g^{-1})^{ij}|_x = \delta_{ij}$.*

$$\int_{S^*M} (D|\xi|^2)^2 = \frac{4}{m}|\xi|^2 \operatorname{vol}(S^{m-1}) g^{-1}, \quad \int_{S^*M} (D^2|\xi|^2) = 2\operatorname{vol}(S^{m-1}) g^{-1} \tag{B.1}$$

while

$$\int_{S^*M} (D|\xi|^2)^2 (\nabla^2|\xi|^2) = 0, \quad \int_{S^*M} (D^2|\xi|^2)(\nabla^2|\xi|^2) = \frac{\operatorname{vol}(S^{m-1})}{m} \frac{4}{3} S_\Delta |\xi|^2, \tag{B.2}$$

and

$$\int_{S^*M} (D|\xi|^2)(D^2|\xi|^2)(\nabla^3 \ell) = -\frac{\operatorname{vol}(S^{m-1})}{m} \frac{8}{3} S_\Delta |\xi|^2. \tag{B.3}$$

Proof.

$$(D^2|\xi|^2)(\nabla^2|\xi|^2) = -\frac{4}{3} \sum_{k,p,l} \xi_p \xi_l R_{pkkl}.$$

$$(D|\xi|^2)(D^2|\xi|^2)(\nabla^3 \ell) = -\frac{8}{3} \sum_{k,p,l} \xi_p \xi_k R_{plkl}$$

□

Lemma B.2. *Keep the notations in the previous lemma.*

$$\int_{S^*M}(D\,|\xi|^2)(D\sigma_{\not D})\sigma_{\not D} = \mathrm{vol}(S^{m-1})\frac{2}{m}\,|\xi|^2\,g^{-1} + \kappa_1, \tag{B.4}$$

$$\int_{S^*M}(D\sigma_{\not D})^2 = \mathrm{vol}(S^{m-1})g^{-1} + \kappa_2, \tag{B.5}$$

$$\int_{S^*M}\Big((D\sigma_{\not D})\sigma_{\not D}\Big)^2 = \mathrm{vol}(S^{m-1})\frac{-(m-2)}{m}\,|\xi|^2\,g^{-1} + \kappa_3 \tag{B.6}$$

where κ_j, $j = 1, 2, 3$ are anti-symmetric contravariant two-tensors.

Proof. Choose a orthonormal basis, so that:

$$g^{-1} = \sum_j e_j \otimes e_j, \;\; \sigma_{\not D}(\xi) = \sum_k i\xi_k \mathbf{c}(e_k).$$

Compute

$$(D\,|\xi|^2)(D\sigma_{\not D})\sigma_{\not D} = \sum_{j,k,l}(2\xi_j e_j)(i\mathbf{c}(e_k)e_k)(i\xi_l\mathbf{c}(e_l)).$$

Recall that $\int_{S^{m-1}}\xi_j\xi_l = 0$ for $j \neq l$, while for $j = l$, $\int_{S^{m-1}}\xi_j^2 = |\xi|^2\,\mathrm{vol}(S^{m-1})/m$, also $\mathbf{c}(e_j)^2 = -1$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{S^*M}\left(\sum_{j,k,l}(2\xi_j e_j)(i\mathbf{c}(e_k)e_k)(i\xi_l\mathbf{c}(e_l))\right)\\ =\;&\frac{\mathrm{vol}(S^{m-1})}{m}\left(\sum_j 2\,|\xi|^2\,e_j\otimes e_j - 2\,|\xi|^2\sum_{j\neq k}\mathbf{c}(e_j)\mathbf{c}(e_k)e_j\otimes e_k\right),\end{aligned}$$

the first term gives rise to $\mathrm{vol}(S^{m-1})\frac{2}{m}\,|\xi|^2\,g^{-1}$ and the second term is a anti-symmetric tensor.

Now we consider $(D\sigma_{\not D})^2$, since $(D\sigma_{\not D})_j = i\mathbf{c}(e_j)$

$$\begin{aligned}(D\sigma_{\not D})^2 &= \sum_{j,k} i^2\mathbf{c}(e_j)e_j\mathbf{c}(e_k)e_k\\ &= \sum_j e_j\otimes e_j + \sum_{j\neq k}\mathbf{c}(e_j)\mathbf{c}(e_k)e_j\otimes e_k.\end{aligned}$$

After applying $\int_{S^*M}$, the first term becomes $\mathrm{vol}(S^{m-1})g^{-1}$ in (B.5) and the second term results an anti-symmetric tensor.

To show the last one: for $\Big((D\sigma_{\not D})\sigma_{\not D}\Big)^2$,

$$\Big((D\sigma_{\not D})\sigma_{\not D}\Big)^2_{jk} = \sum_{p,l}\xi_p\xi_l\mathbf{c}(e_j)\mathbf{c}(e_p)\mathbf{c}(e_k)\mathbf{c}(e_l),$$

after integration, only those terms with $p = l$ will survive, the result is given by:

$$\mathrm{vol}(S^{m-1})\frac{1}{m}\left|\xi\right|^2\sum_p \mathbf{c}(e_j)\mathbf{c}(e_p)\mathbf{c}(e_k)\mathbf{c}(e_p).$$

For $j = k$, which corresponds to the symmetric part,

$$\sum_{p=1}^{m}\mathbf{c}(e_j)\mathbf{c}(e_p)\mathbf{c}(e_j)\mathbf{c}(e_p) = -(m-2)$$

For $j \neq k$:

$$\sum_p \mathbf{c}(e_j)\mathbf{c}(e_p)\mathbf{c}(e_k)\mathbf{c}(e_p) = (m-2)\mathbf{c}(e_j)\mathbf{c}(e_k)$$

which is anti-symmetric in j, k. Sum up,

$$\int_{S^*M}\left((D\sigma_{\not D})\sigma_{\not D}\right)^2 = \mathrm{vol}(S^{m-1})\frac{-(m-2)}{m}\left|\xi\right|^2 g^{-1} + \text{an anti-symmetric tensor.}$$

□

Appendix C. List of complete terms of b_2

As being mentioned before, the explicit expression of b_2 contains approximately 30 terms. We use Mathematica to assist the computation and record the results and mains steps below from Figure 1 to Figure 7. The terms are grouped according to the summands of b_2:

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 = &\left[a_0\left(b_0, p_0\right) + a_0\left(b_1, p_1\right) + a_1\left(b_0, p_1\right)\right.\\ &\left. + \ a_1\left(b_1, p_2\right) + a_2\left(b_0, p_2\right)\right]\left(-b_0\right). \end{aligned}$$

The notations appeared in the figures are consistent with notations used in the paper:

- `B0` stands for $b_0 = (k^2\left|\xi\right|^2 - \lambda)^{-1}$ in the paper and σ_{D} is the symbol of the Dirac operator $\not D$;
- All the ξ in the figures should be understood as $|\xi|$;
- The horizontal and vertical differential: ∇k and $D\left|\xi\right|^2$ are denoted as $\triangledown$[k] and $\mathcal{D}[\xi^2]$;
- The third column consists of modular curvature functions defined in equations (6.11) to (6.13). Namely, `Kmod[p,q,s,dimM]`, `H[p,q,r,s,t,dimM]` and `c[p,dimM]` are associated to $K_{(p,q,m)}(s)$ and $H_{(p,q,r,m)}(s,t)$, and $c_{(p,m)}$ respectively (cf. Eq. (6.11), (6.12) and (6.13)).
- $\mathcal{S}$ (appeared in Figure 3 and 7, middle column) is the scalar curvature function.

Each Figure consists of three columns. The left column contains the original terms before any integrations. The middle column is obtained by integrating the left one over the unit cosphere bundle. In terms of programing, this step is merely apply the substitution rules listed in Table 3. The result is upto an overall factor $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})$, $m = \dim M$. The noncommutative factors appeared here are the derivatives of the conformal factor: $\nabla^2 k$ or ∇k, they give rise to one and two variable modular curvature functions respectively. According to the rearrangement lemma in section 6.2, passing from the middle to the right

column, one just need to collect the exponents of the B_0 factors, for example, for the term $-|\xi|^2 k^3 b_0^2(\nabla^2 k)b_0$ (5th-row in Figure 1) gives rise to the function $-K_{(2,1,m)}(s)$. Sometimes, one needs to move k in front of all ∇k (or $\nabla^2 k$) before applying the rearrangement lemma, that is

$$(\nabla k)k = kk^{-1}(\nabla k)k = k\Delta^{1/2}(\nabla k). \tag{C.1}$$

This gives rise to a function $\sqrt{s}$ or $\sqrt{t}$ depending the position of ∇k. For instance, look at Figure 4, second row, we have:

$$-|\xi|^2 kb_0(\nabla k)b_0(\nabla k)kb_0 \longmapsto -\sqrt{s}\sqrt{t}H_{(1,1,1,m)}(s,t),\ m = \dim M.$$

The function $\sqrt{s}\sqrt{t}$ reflects the fact that in order to we move the k appeared at the right end infront, we have to apply (C.1) twice.

As a reminder, there is an overall constant $1/2$ in front of all functions.

$2\,\xi^2\,k^4.B_0^3.\nabla[k]^2.B_0\,\mathcal{D}[\xi^2]^2$	$\frac{8\,\xi^4\,k^4.B_0^3.\nabla[k]^2.B_0}{\dim M}$	$\frac{8\,\mathrm{Kmod}[3,1,st,\dim M]}{\dim M}$
$\xi^2\,k^5.B_0^3.\nabla^2[k].B_0\,\mathcal{D}[\xi^2]^2$	$\frac{4\,\xi^4\,k^5.B_0^3.\nabla^2[k].B_0}{\dim M}$	$\frac{4\,\mathrm{Kmod}[3,1,s,\dim M]}{\dim M}$
$\xi^2\,k^4.B_0^3.\nabla^2[k].k.B_0\,\mathcal{D}[\xi^2]^2$	$\frac{4\,\xi^4\,k^4.B_0^3.\nabla^2[k].k.B_0}{\dim M}$	$\frac{4\sqrt{s}\,\mathrm{Kmod}[3,1,s,\dim M]}{\dim M}$
$-\xi^2\,k^2.B_0^2.\nabla[k]^2.B_0\,\mathcal{D}^2[\xi^2]$	$-2\,\xi^2\,k^2.B_0^2.\nabla[k]^2.B_0$	$-2\,\mathrm{Kmod}[2,1,st,\dim M]$
$-\frac{1}{2}\,\xi^2\,k^3.B_0^2.\nabla^2[k].B_0\,\mathcal{D}^2[\xi^2]$	$-\xi^2\,k^3.B_0^2.\nabla^2[k].B_0$	$-\mathrm{Kmod}[2,1,s,\dim M]$
$-\frac{1}{2}\,\xi^2\,k^2.B_0^2.\nabla^2[k].k.B_0\,\mathcal{D}^2[\xi^2]$	$-\xi^2\,k^2.B_0^2.\nabla^2[k].k.B_0$	$-\sqrt{s}\,\mathrm{Kmod}[2,1,s,\dim M]$

FIGURE 1. Terms come from $a_2(b_0, p_2)$, part I.

$-\frac{1}{2}\,k^4.B_0^3\,\mathcal{D}^2[\xi^2]\,\nabla^2[\xi^2]$	$-\frac{2\,S\,\xi^2\,k^4.B_0^3}{3\,\dim M}$	$-\frac{2\,c[3,\dim M]}{3\,\dim M}$
$-\frac{1}{2}\,k^4.B_0^3\,\mathcal{D}[\xi^2]\,\mathcal{D}^2[\xi^2]\,\nabla^3[\ell]$	$\frac{4\,S\,\xi^2\,k^4.B_0^3}{3\,\dim M}$	$\frac{4\,c[3,\dim M]}{3\,\dim M}$

FIGURE 2. Terms come from $a_2(b_0, p_2)$, part II.



FIGURE 3. Terms come from $a_1(b_1, p_2)$, part I.



FIGURE 4. Terms come from $a_1(b_1, p_2)$, part II.



FIGURE 5. Terms come from $a_1(b_0, p_1)$

$$\xi^2\, k^2 . B_0^2 . \nabla[k] . k^2 . B_0 . \nabla[k] . B_0\, \sigma_D\, \mathcal{D}\left[\xi^2\right] \mathcal{D}[\sigma_D]$$

$$\xi^2\, k^3 . B_0^2 . \nabla[k] . k . B_0 . \nabla[k] . B_0\, \sigma_D\, \mathcal{D}\left[\xi^2\right] \mathcal{D}[\sigma_D]$$

$$-k . B_0 . \nabla[k] . k . B_0 . \nabla[k] . B_0\, \sigma_D^2\, \mathcal{D}[\sigma_D]^2$$

$$\frac{2\,\xi^4\, k^2 . B_0^2 . \nabla[k] . k^2 . B_0 . \nabla[k] . B_0}{\text{dimM}}$$

$$\frac{2\,\xi^4\, k^3 . B_0^2 . \nabla[k] . k . B_0 . \nabla[k] . B_0}{\text{dimM}}$$

$$\frac{(-2+\text{dimM})\,\xi^2\, k . B_0 . \nabla[k] . k . B_0 . \nabla[k] . B_0}{\text{dimM}}$$

$$\frac{2\,s\,H[2,1,1,s,t,\text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}}$$

$$\frac{2\sqrt{s}\,H[2,1,1,s,t,\text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}}$$

$$\frac{(-2+\text{dimM})\sqrt{s}\,H[1,1,1,s,t,\text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}}$$

FIGURE 6. Terms from $a_0(b_1, p_1)$

$$-\frac{1}{4}\,S\,k^2 . B_0^2 \qquad -\frac{1}{4}\,S\,k^2 . B_0^2 \qquad -\frac{1}{4}\,c[2,\ \text{dimM}]$$

FIGURE 7. Terms from $a_0(b_0, p_0)$

$$-\sqrt{s}\,H[1,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}] + \frac{(-2+\text{dimM})\,\sqrt{s}\,H[1,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} - \sqrt{s}\,\sqrt{t}\,H[1,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}] +$$

$$\frac{2\,s^{3/2}\,H[1,\ 2,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} + \frac{2\,s^{3/2}\,\sqrt{t}\,H[1,\ 2,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} + 2\sqrt{s}\,H[2,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}] +$$

$$\frac{8\sqrt{s}\,H[2,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} + 2\,s\,H[2,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}] + \frac{6\,s\,H[2,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} +$$

$$2\sqrt{s}\,\sqrt{t}\,H[2,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}] + \frac{6\sqrt{s}\,\sqrt{t}\,H[2,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} + 2\,s\,\sqrt{t}\,H[2,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}] +$$

$$\frac{4\,s\,\sqrt{t}\,H[2,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} - \frac{4\,s^{3/2}\,H[2,\ 2,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} - \frac{4\,s^2\,H[2,\ 2,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} -$$

$$\frac{4\,s^{3/2}\,\sqrt{t}\,H[2,\ 2,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} - \frac{4\,s^2\,\sqrt{t}\,H[2,\ 2,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} - \frac{8\sqrt{s}\,H[3,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} -$$

$$\frac{8\,s\,H[3,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} - \frac{8\sqrt{s}\,\sqrt{t}\,H[3,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} - \frac{8\,s\,\sqrt{t}\,H[3,\ 1,\ 1,\ s,\ t,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}}$$

FIGURE 8. Two-variable local curvature function $H^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(s,t)$, part I.

$$-2\,\text{Kmod}[2,\ 1,\ st,\ \text{dimM}] - \frac{2\,\text{Kmod}[2,\ 1,\ st,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} + \frac{8\,\text{Kmod}[3,\ 1,\ st,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}}$$

FIGURE 9. Two-variable modular curvature function $H^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(s,t)$, part II.

$$-\text{Kmod}[2,\ 1,\ s,\ \text{dimM}] - \frac{2\,\text{Kmod}[2,\ 1,\ s,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} - \sqrt{s}\,\text{Kmod}[2,\ 1,\ s,\ \text{dimM}] + \frac{4\,\text{Kmod}[3,\ 1,\ s,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}} + \frac{4\sqrt{s}\,\text{Kmod}[3,\ 1,\ s,\ \text{dimM}]}{\text{dimM}}$$

FIGURE 10. One-variable modular curvature function $K^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}(s)$.

$$: -\frac{1}{4}\, c[2,\ \text{dimM}] + \frac{2\, c[3,\ \text{dimM}]}{3\, \text{dimM}}$$

FIGURE 11. Coefficient for the scalar curvature term $c^{(m)}_{\Delta_k}$.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank MPIM, Bonn for providing marvelous working environment. The author is also greatly indebted to Henri Moscovici for suggesting this research project. This paper grew out of numerous conversations with him.

References

[1] Nicole Berline, Ezra Getzler, and Michele Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Springer, 1992.
[2] Arthur L. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*, volume 10 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
[3] Tanvir Ahamed Bhuyain and Matilde Marcolli. The ricci flow on noncommutative two-tori. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 101(2):173–194, 2012.
[4] Jean-Pierre Bourguignon and Paul Gauduchon. Spineurs, opérateurs de Dirac et variations de métriques. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 144(3):581–599, 1992.
[5] Simon Brain, Giovanni Landi, and Walter D. van Suijlekom. Moduli spaces of instantons on toric noncommutative manifolds. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 17(5):1129–1193, 2013.
[6] B. Ćaćić. A reconstruction theorem for Connes-Landi deformations of commutative spectral triples. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2014, 1408.4429.
[7] Ali H. Chamseddine and Alain Connes. Scale invariance in the spectral action. *J. Math. Phys.*, 47(6):063504, 19, 2006.
[8] A. Connes. C*-algebres et géométrie différentielle. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. AB*, 290(13):A599–A604, 1980.
[9] A. Connes and M. Marcolli. *Noncommutative geometry, quantum fields and motives*, volume 55. Amer Mathematical Society, 2008.
[10] A. Connes and H. Moscovici. The local index formula in noncommutative geometry. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 5(2):174–243, 1995.
[11] Alain Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
[12] Alain Connes and Giovanni Landi. Noncommutative manifolds, the instanton algebra and isospectral deformations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 221(1):141–159, 2001.
[13] Alain Connes and Henri Moscovici. Type III and spectral triples. In *Traces in number theory, geometry and quantum fields*, Aspects Math., E38, pages 57–71. Friedr. Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 2008.
[14] Alain Connes and Henri Moscovici. Modular curvature for noncommutative two-tori. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(3):639–684, 2014.
[15] Alain Connes and Paula Tretkoff. The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for the noncommutative two torus. In *Noncommutative geometry, arithmetic, and related topics*, pages 141–158. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2011.
[16] Lance Douglas Drager. *ON THE INTRINSIC SYMBOL CALCULUS FOR PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON MANIFOLDS*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1978. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Brandeis University.
[17] Farzad Fathizadeh. On the scalar curvature for the noncommutative four torus. *J. Math. Phys.*, 56(6):062303, 14, 2015.
[18] Farzad Fathizadeh and Masoud Khalkhali. The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for noncommutative two tori with a general conformal structure. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 6(3):457–480, 2012.
[19] Farzad Fathizadeh and Masoud Khalkhali. Scalar curvature for the noncommutative two torus. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 7(4):1145–1183, 2013.
[20] Farzad Fathizadeh and Masoud Khalkhali. Scalar curvature for noncommutative four-tori. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 9(2):473–503, 2015.
[21] S. A. Fulling and G. Kennedy. The resolvent parametrix of the general elliptic linear differential operator: a closed form for the intrinsic symbol. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 310(2):583–617, 1988.

[22] Victor Gayral, Bruno Iochum, and Joseph C. Várilly. Dixmier traces on noncompact isospectral deformations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 237(2):507–539, 2006.
[23] Peter B Gilkey and Domingo Toledo. *Invariance theory, the heat equation, and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem*, volume 2. CRC press Boca Raton, 1995.
[24] Giovanni Landi. Examples of noncommutative instantons. In *Geometric and topological methods for quantum field theory*, volume 434 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 39–72. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
[25] M. Lesch. Divided differences in noncommutative geometry: rearrangement lemma, functional calculus and Magnus expansion. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2014, 1405.0863.
[26] Matthias Lesch. Divided differences in noncommutative geometry: Rearrangement lemma, functional calculus and expansional formula. *JOURNAL OF NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY*, (to appear) (2014).
[27] Matthias Lesch and Henri Moscovici. Modular Curvature and Morita Equivalence. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 26(3):818–873, 2016.
[28] Yang Liu. Modular curvature for toric noncommutative manifolds. 10 2015, 1510.04668.
[29] Marc A. Rieffel. Deformation quantization for actions of $\mathbf{R}^d$. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 106(506):x+93, 1993.
[30] John Roe. *Elliptic operators, topology, and asymptotic methods*. CRC Press, 1999.
[31] J. Rosenberg. Levi-Civita's Theorem for Noncommutative Tori. *SIGMA*, 9:71, November 2013, 1307.3775.
[32] Harold Widom. Families of pseudodifferential operators. In *Topics in functional analysis (essays dedicated to M. G. Kreĭn on the occasion of his 70th birthday)*, volume 3 of *Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud.*, pages 345–395. Academic Press, New York-London, 1978.
[33] Harold Widom. A complete symbolic calculus for pseudodifferential operators. *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)*, 104(1):19–63, 1980.
[34] M. Yamashita. Connes-Landi Deformation of Spectral Triples. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 94:263–291, December 2010, 1006.4420.

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111, Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: liu.858@osu.edu